1 **NEW YORK STATE** 2 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT (SEQRA) WATCHTOWER AUDIO/VIDEO PRODUCTION CENTER 3 FINDINGS STATEMENT 4 5 6 Pursuant to Article 8 (State Environmental Quality Review Act - SEQR) of the Environmental 7 Conservation Law and 6 NYCRR Part 617, the Town of Ramapo Town Board as the Lead Agency 8 makes the following findings. 9 World Headquarters of Jehovah's Witnesses Audio/Video 10 Name of Action: 11 **Production Center** 12 13 **Project Sponsor:** Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, Inc. 14 Attn: Regulatory; 900 Red Mills Road Wallkill, New York 12589 15 16 17 Lead Agency: Town of Ramapo Town Board 18 Town of Ramapo Town Hall 19 235 Route 59 20 Suffern, NY 10901 21 22 **SEQRA Classification:** Type I 23 24 Description of Action: Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, Inc., ("Watchtower", 25 the "Applicant" or "Project Sponsor") proposes to build a World Headquarters of Jehovah's Witnesses Audio/Video Production Center ("A/V Production Center" or the "Proposed Project") 26 at 155 Sterling Mine Road, in the Town of Ramapo, New York (the "Project Site"). The proposed 27 28 development is a facility for the creation and production of audio and video/film recordings in an integrated working, living, and worship facility for members of the religious order known as the 29 30 Worldwide Order of Special Full Time Servants of Jehovah's Witnesses (the "Order") and assisting religious volunteers. A new mixed-use MU-3 Zoning District and amendments to the 31 32 Town of Ramapo Comprehensive Plan are proposed to facilitate the development of this integrated 33 facility (the "Proposed Action").

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

The A/V Production Center will consist of audio and video production studios and facilities to support operations of the world headquarters of Jehovah's Witnesses. These support facilities would include offices, maintenance and set production workshops, and a central chilled/hot water plant with geothermal heat recovery system. Accommodations for the resident staff would include 645 residential units (545 1-bedroom and 100 studio units), dining/assembly spaces, recreation/wellness/fitness facilities, and a clinic. The project also includes a Visitors Center, which would welcome the public to the campus and offer Bible-related exhibits as well as exhibits on the activities of Jehovah's Witnesses.

¹ As used in the FEIS and DEIS, 'volunteer' means a worker who does not receive compensation for his or her services. Depending on the context, 'volunteers' could mean members of the Order, religious volunteers not in the Order, or both.

Location: 155 Sterling Mine Rd, Sloatsburg, NY 10974

Project Site Tax Lots

				- J		
Town/County	Tax Lot	Existing Designation	0	Proposed Designation	Zoning	Acres
Town of Ramapo, Rockland County	38.10-1-10 through 60	Specialized	Housing	Mixed-Use 3 (MU-3)	242 acres
	38.13-1-2 through 55	Residential Distric		:		[
	38.14-1-1 through 76	(RSH)				
	38.14-2-1 through 44					
	38.14-3-1 through 46					
	38.17-1-3 through 11					
	38.18-1-1 through 14					
Town of Tuxedo, Orange County	17-1-19.21	Conservation	(C)	Conservation ((C)	7 acres
TOTAL	·					249 acres

Notes: ¹At the time the DEIS was prepared, the property was zoned Rural Residential (R-2). The Town of Tuxedo adopted a Townwide zoning update on December 13, 2021.

Date Final Environmental Impact Statement Accepted: March 23, 2022

Date Final Environmental Impact Statement Filed: September 14, 2022

Date Findings Adopted:

2 3

A. FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS RELIED ON TO SUPPORT THE DECISION

This Findings Statement has been prepared in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law (the State Environmental Quality Review Act or "SEQRA") and its implementing regulations set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 617. It has been prepared by the Town Board of the Town of Ramapo (the "Town Board"), the Lead Agency for the coordinated environmental review of the Proposed Action. This Findings Statement relies on the information and analysis contained in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) accepted as complete on April 28, 2021, and the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) dated

B. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, Inc., ("Watchtower", the "Applicant" or "Project Sponsor") proposes to build a World Headquarters of Jehovah's Witnesses Audio/Video Production Center ("A/V Production Center" or the "Proposed Project") at 155 Sterling Mine Road, in the Town of Ramapo, New York (the "Project Site"). The proposed development is a facility for the creation and production of audio and video/film recordings in an integrated working, living, and worship facility for members of the religious order known as the Worldwide Order of Special Full Time Servants of Jehovah's Witnesses (the "Order") and assisting religious

DRAFT 2 v. 10.24.2022

volunteers.¹ A new mixed-use MU-3 Zoning District and amendments to the Town of Ramapo Comprehensive Plan are proposed to facilitate the development of this integrated facility (the "Proposed Action").

The A/V Production Center will consist of audio and video production studios and facilities to support operations of the world headquarters of Jehovah's Witnesses. These support facilities would include offices, maintenance and set production workshops, and a central chilled/hot water plant with geothermal heat recovery system. Accommodations for the resident staff would include 645 residential units (545 1-bedroom and 100 studio units), dining/assembly spaces, recreation/wellness/fitness facilities, and a clinic. The project also includes a Visitors Center, which would welcome the public to the campus and offer Bible-related exhibits as well as exhibits on the activities of Jehovah's Witnesses.

The Project Site consists of 249 acres of land, of which 242 acres are located in the Town of Ramapo (Rockland County) and 7 acres are located in the Town of Tuxedo (Orange County). At the request of the Tuxedo Planning Board and the Orange County Department of Public Works, and as part of a separate project, the Project Sponsor has abandoned the existing dirt driveway that provided direct access to the Project Site and has constructed a 4-way intersection with Eagle Valley Road, Sterlington Road, and Sterling Mine Road. Access to the Project Site would be gained via an easement from Sterlington Road, which also provides access to the existing Sisters Servants of Mary Immaculate and St. Joseph's Adult Care Home. As part of the Proposed Action, the drive would be improved from a dirt/gravel entrance to a paved roadway that would serve as the secondary entrance to the Proposed Action. Development on the portion of the Project Site located in the Town of Tuxedo is limited to these access improvements.²

The Ramapo portion of the Project Site was previously subdivided into 293 lots for the "Sterling Mine Road Active Adult Community" which was commonly known as the "Lorterdan Project." However, no lots were conveyed and no physical improvements were made to the property following the subdivision approval, and the Project Sponsor has represented that that subdivision would be abandoned in accordance with Town Law 276(12).

The Proposed Action requires a Comprehensive Plan amendment, and zoning text and map amendment from the Town of Ramapo Town Board to establish a new mixed-use MU-3 Zoning District, and site plan approval from the Planning Board. In addition, the Proposed Action would merge the existing tax lot lines into a single tax lot in Ramapo.

DRAFT 3 v. 10.24.2022

² Subsequent to the preparation of this FEIS, Watchtower acquired fee title to the land burdened by the access easement. As a result, the easement was extinguished by operation of law. The proposed improvement from a dirt/gravel entrance to a paved roadway to serve as the secondary site entrance is not affected.

C. SEQRA REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (6 NYCRR Part 617) requires that agencies considering discretionary actions, such as the Proposed Action here, assess the potential adverse environmental impacts that could result from a given action.

On July 8, 2020, the Town of Ramapo Town Board declared its intent to serve as Lead Agency for the Proposed Action. Having received no objection from any other Involved Agency, and at the Applicant's request, on August 12, 2020, the Town Board adopted a Positive Declaration, thereby finding that the Proposed Action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment and requiring that a DEIS must be prepared.

A DEIS was prepared by the Applicant pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) (Article 8 of Environmental Conservation Law) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617), as well as in accordance with the environmental analysis required by the Scoping Document approved by the Town Board acting as Lead Agency on December 10, 2020 ("Adopted Scope"). The DEIS analyzed potential environmental impacts from the Proposed Action. The Town of Ramapo Town Board (acting as Lead Agency) issued a Notice of Completeness for the DEIS on April 28, 2021.

A duly noticed public hearing on the DEIS was held by the Lead Agency on May 26, 2021, for purpose of hearing any public comment on the DEIS. Written comments were accepted for a period of no less than 10 days following the close of the public hearing and ending on July 7, 2021. The DEIS was made accessible on the Town of Ramapo's website and in hard-copy format in Town Hall and the local public library.

The FEIS, which responded to all substantive comments on the DEIS, was accepted by the Lead Agency on March 23, 2022, and distributed to all Involved and Interested Agencies and posted to the Town's website on September 16, 2022.

Table 1 SEORA Process

Date	Action
July 8, 2020	Ramapo Town Board declared Intent to be Lead Agency
July 13, 2020	Ramapo Town Board Notice of Intent to seek Lead Agency Status was circulated
August 12, 2020	Adoption of Positive Declaration
October 14, 2020	Period to receive written comments on Draft Scope closed
December 9, 2020	Adoption of Final Scope by Ramapo Town Board
April 28, 2021	DEIS accepted as complete by Ramapo Town Board
May 26, 2021	Public Hearing on DEIS
July 7, 2021	Period to receive written comments on DEIS closed
March 23, 2022	FEIS accepted as complete by Ramapo Town Board
September 16, 2022	FEIS revised, filed and circulated, posted on Town website

D. SUMMARY OF IMPACTS, PROPOSED MITIGATION AND SPECIFIC FINDINGS

The following describes the anticipated impacts and benefits resulting from the Proposed Action as identified in the DEIS and FEIS, and the proposed mitigation measures that the Town Board has identified and will require to be incorporated into the Proposed Action, and the findings of the Ramapo Town Board based on the record before it.

1. LAND USE, ZONING, AND PUBLIC POLICY

The Proposed Action's potential effects on land use, zoning, and public policy were evaluated. Specifically, the compatibility of the Proposed Action with existing and future land uses within a 1/4-mile of the Project Site and consistency with applicable zoning ordinances and other public policy documents such as the Town of Ramapo Comprehensive Plan (January 2004) were assessed.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Land Use

As described in the DEIS, the Proposed Action would be consistent with surrounding land uses. Construction of the World Headquarters A/V Production Center would add a new religious institutional live/work use to the Project Site, which is currently vacant land. However, this use is consistent with all surrounding land uses, most notably the religious institutional uses to the immediate west of the Project Site. The Applicant has stated that Proposed Action is an integral component of their religious institution and represents a substantial long-term investment in the Project Site. The Applicant intends to utilize the Project Site for its proposed purpose for the foreseeable future with no intention of sale or transfer. The proposed live/work development concept, which requires that the development be planned and designed to function as one integrated mixed-use development that avoids, minimizes or mitigates environmental impacts, is very specific to the needs of the Project Sponsor and could not be easily adapted for use by others.

Zoning

The Proposed Action initially included a zoning text and map amendment to rezone the portion of the Project Site within the Town of Ramapo to a new MU-3 Zoning District, which would allow a mixed-use development, subject to site development plan approval, wherein the residential, office, institutional, and accessory uses would be integrated in a campus setting comprising one or several adjacent tax lots under common ownership. The DEIS studied and analyzed a conceptual development consistent with that zoning.

In response to comments from the Town Board, the Town's planning consultants, the Rockland County Planning Department and the public, the proposed MU-3 Zoning District regulations were revised and those revisions are discussed in the FEIS.

DRAFT 5 v. 10.24.2022

1 2

1 2	Town Boar	rd Conceptual Development Special Permit
3		sed MU-3 Zoning District has been revised to establish special permit requirements for o ensure that the site plan conforms with the design, build-program, and required
5	mitigation	outlined in the FEIS, all buildings and related uses of land within the MU-3 Zoning
6		ould be subject to both Conceptual Development Special Permit (CDSP) approval from
7		Board and site development plan approval by the Planning Board. The site plan reviewed
8		uning Board would be required to comply with the CDSP approved by the Town Board.
9	The propos	sed zoning sets forth the following CDSP approvals process:
10		
11		application for CDSP approval shall be submitted to the Town Board and shall include
12	the	e following:
13		
14	a.	A statement of the objectives to be achieved by the proposed project.
15	_	
16	b.	A legal description of the property(ies) involved, together with a survey signed and
17		sealed by a licensed New York State surveyor. Such survey shall include property
18		lines and measurements, tax lot designation(s), street designations, easements,
19		existing structures, locations of utility lines and connection points, curb cuts, site
20		topography, and a north arrow, and such other features as the Town Board deems
21		appropriate to an understanding of existing conditions on the site
22		A zoning compliance table aboveing the zoning cuitoric required for the cite and the
23 24	c.	A zoning compliance table showing the zoning criteria required for the site and the
24 25		proposal's compliance with such criteria and/or the need for, and magnitude of, variances from such criteria. ¹
26		variances from such criteria.
27	d	Conceptual site plan drawings, which shall be deemed to meet the requirement of a
28	u.	site development plan for purposes of Section 376-161, including:
29		site development plan for purposes of Section 570-101, including.
30		i. The location and dimensions (length, width, and height in feet) of proposed
31		structures.
32		Sit detailed.
33		ii. Conceptual architectural renderings of proposed buildings.
34		ii. Conceptual aremitectular remedings of proposed culturings.
35		iii. Proposed vehicular circulation.
36		11000000 1011100111011
37		iv. A conceptual landscape plan.
38		T. I.
39	e.	An analysis of the existing water and sanitary sewer infrastructure and a statement by
40		a qualified professional of the capacity of that infrastructure to support the proposed
41		CDSP, and a description of any infrastructure improvements that may be necessary to
42		do.

DRAFT 6 v. 10.24.2022

¹ As proposed, the Proposed Action would not require any variances.

- f. A stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) that complies with the requirements of the DEC General Permit for Discharge of Stormwater from Construction Activities and Chapter 237 of the Ramapo Code. The Applicant shall provide a narrative that describes any waivers from those requirements that are proposed as part of the SWPPP.
- g. A tree clearing and grading plan which shall also be addressed in the proposed SWPPP.
- h. An open space preservation plan, which shall include a narrative explaining how the plan will protect environmentally sensitive areas and preserve contiguous areas of habitat on the project site to the maximum extent feasible.
- i. A traffic impact study.

- j. Identification of where on the Project Site that rock blasting may or will occur. The Project Sponsor has represented that the scope of work to be includes as part of the CDSP application may include blasting. A blasting plan does not need to be developed and/or submitted for review to the Town as part of the CDSP review and approval process. A blasting plan shall be provided to the Town for review and approval as part of any subsequent land use applications where blasting is deemed necessary to execute the improvements identified within the land use application made to the Town.

No application for CDSP approval would be deemed complete until the SEQRA Lead Agency for the overall SEQRA Action under SEQRA has issued a negative declaration or accepted a DEIS for public comment that includes the activities that are the subject of the proposed CDSP.

As part of its CDSP approval, the Town Board would be permitted to authorize tree clearing within the limits of disturbance of the approved CDSP prior to approval of the site development plan by the Planning Board, where it finds doing so would allow the coordination of site development activities in a manner that would avoid, minimize or mitigate any associated environmental impact and allow the site to be developed in a coordinated manner that would reduce the duration or extent of construction activities on the site. In particular, where the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Town Board and the Town's consulting engineer that restrictions on the period of time when tree clearing would be permitted would otherwise prevent the overall site from being developed in a coordinated and efficient manner, the Town Board could permit tree clearing in advance of site plan approval by the Planning Board. Prior to conducting any tree clearing activities the Applicant would be required to obtain coverage for those activities under the NYSDEC General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities and enter into a performance agreement and provide a restoration bond in such amount as the Town Board deems necessary to ensure that all disturbed areas will be promptly stabilized and restored in the event the Applicant fails to do so.

Under the proposed regulations, the Town Board would, after public hearing, approve a CDSP, subject to subsequent site development plan approval by the Planning Board. Any site development plan approved by the Planning Board must be consistent with the approved CDSP. The Planning Board's review of a site development plan based on an approved CDSP would thus be limited to implementing those details and elements of the site development plan not specifically addressed in the approved CDSP. To the extent that a site development plan includes project modifications, changed circumstances or new information about relevant environmental impacts not addressed or inadequately addressed in the record before the Planning Board, it would be required to review the changes in accordance with SEQRA.

In response to public comments, the maximum as-of-right building height was reduced to 45 feet. Under the revised MU-3 zoning, the Town Board, as part of CDSP approval, may permit additional building height where it finds that such additional height, in the context of the development of a project site pursuant to site-specific building envelope controls, furthers the Town's interests in reducing impacts on the environment, including, but not limited to environmentally sensitive areas, and (1) reduces development coverage, and (2) mitigates visual impacts of the proposed development on surrounding properties and viewsheds to a greater extent than a plan restricted to the conforming building height.

The applicant must demonstrate to the Town Board that the following performance criteria are met:

1. Compared to the Base Height Plan, there is no increase in Floor Area Ratio.

2. The maximum increase in permitted height for a building within the building envelope allowed in the CDSP will be no more than one foot for every three feet separating the nearest point of the building and the nearest lot line, and in any event may not exceed 75 feet.

3. The increased building height does not violate the MU-3 ridgeline protection requirements.

4. The highest rooftop elevation allowed by the Additional Building Height Plan will not be higher than the highest rooftop elevation of the Base Height Plan.

5. The overall development coverage to be achieved by increasing height must be at least 10% less than the Base Height Plan. The project shall maintain a minimum of 50% of the gross lot area of the subject property as deed restricted open space, which the Town Board may. to the extent feasible, require to be consolidated during the CDSP process, to protect environmentally sensitive areas and to provide contiguous areas of habitat.

- 6. All buildings benefiting from increased height must contain at least one level, but not more than two levels, of interior parking within the footprint of the respective building; provided, however, that this parking level requirement shall not apply to any building consisting of a single level with uninterrupted interior volume.
- 7. A minimum setback of 200 feet shall be provided between structures greater than 45 feet and the front property line.
- 8. The Applicant demonstrates to the Town Board, by means of a visual impact analysis or other means acceptable to the Town Board, that the siting of the increased height buildings mitigates visual impacts of the proposed development on surrounding properties and viewsheds to a greater extent than a plan restricted to the conforming building height.
- 9. The Town Board shall also consider the potential visual and shadow impacts of any increased height building on nearby offsite structures.

The proposed MU-3 zoning maintains the ridgeline protection and other environmentally sensitive restrictions described in the DEIS. As proposed, buildings and structures would not be permitted within the defined ridgeline protection area, which would minimize potential visibility of the Proposed Action from off-site locations by concentrating the development on the lower elevations of the Project Site. Ridgeline areas would be defined as the vertical feet of a hill or mountain above a minimum elevation of 800 feet above mean sea level. The ridgeline on the Project Site reaches a peak of 860 feet above mean sea level, and the top of the tallest building is proposed at 779 feet above mean sea level.

Lot area

The proposed MU-3 Zoning District has been revised to utilize "net lot area" in accordance with the special bulk requirements under § 376-42A of the Town of Ramapo Zoning Code for the purposes of FAR and development coverage calculations.

Minimum lot size

The minimum lot size, which is proposed at 200 acres, would continue to be based on gross lot area.

Dwelling units

The gross floor area dedicated to residence use shall have a minimum FAR of 0.05 and a maximum FAR of 0.125. The Conceptual Development Plan will show the area proposed to be dedicated to residential use, the number of studio and one-bedroom dwelling units proposed, and the approved CDSP will specifically state the approved residential FAR and the total number of dwelling units. The remaining floor area would be dedicated to office, including media production and

DRAFT 9 v. 10.24.2022

distribution facilities, and accessory uses. All campus uses and facilities would be held in common ownership.

FAR and Development Coverage

The Project Sponsor initially asked that the proposed bulk regulations for the MU-3 Zoning District be revised to increase the allowable FAR from 0.25 FAR to 0.30 FAR, and the maximum development coverage from 25% to 30%, in order to reflect the use of net lot area to calculate FAR and development coverage.

On review and consideration of the Project Sponsor's revised zoning and the proposed conceptual development plan, as well as the recommendations of the County Planning Department and its consulting planners, the Town Board concludes that the proposed changes are not necessary to accommodate the plan of development proposed by the Project Sponsor. Therefore, the proposed bulk regulations will retain the 0.25 FAR and 25% maximum development coverage restrictions.

Public Policy

As described in the DEIS, the Proposed Action would amend the 2004 Town of Ramapo Comprehensive Plan. Specifically, the Proposed Action would remove references to the former Lorterdan development. The Proposed Action is not consistent with the previous Lorterdan development and the zoning recommendations that would have implemented that development. The 2004 Town of Ramapo Comprehensive Plan identified this property as appropriate for individual planning treatment and the proposed amendment carries forward that justification. The following text and map changes are proposed.

The following text on Page B-18 would be deleted:

The "Lorterdan" property, which is located in the western portion of the Town along Sterling Mine Road, is recommended for development as an age-restricted community. The Town received a request to rezone this property to a zone that would allow an age-restricted (55 and over) planned community. The zoning proposed for this district would restrict maximum development coverage (i.e., the area covered to building and pavement) to no more than 25% of the total site area. In addition, a minimum of 50% of the site area will be required to be open space lands. The Planning Board would be able to designate a portion of these lands including existing sensitive environmental features such as wetlands, stream corridors or steep slopes as conservation easement lands or preserve them through other appropriate means. Development of this property for the purposes of constructing an age-restricted community as currently proposed (i.e., including the maximum development coverage and minimum open space requirements described above) should be done at a density of no greater than 1 unit per gross area. Reflective of this density, the property is indicated within the "Low Density Residential" land use classification on the Land Use Plan. However, if this property is not developed as an age-restricted community according to the parameters currently proposed (e.g., if it is proposed to be subdivided in the standard manner), such development should be subject to the property's existing RR-80 zoning designation. (Page B-18)

On Page C-17, the italicized text below, which follows the first sentence of Paragraph b.(1) on that page, would be deleted:

2 3 4

5

6

1

The "Natural Resources and Open Space" section recommends a number of approaches to address public water supply issues. *These include: ... Rezoning of the Sterling Mine Road Property to a New Zoning Classification to Permit the Creation of an Age-Restricted (over 55) Active Adult Community; (Page C-17)*

7 8 9

On page D-5, the following italicized text would be deleted:

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The "Lorterdan" property, located in the western portion of the Town along Sterling Mine Road, and which is recommended for development as an age-restricted (55 and over) planned community is indicated within the "Low Density Residential" land use classification on the Land Use Plan. The zoning proposed for this district would restrict maximum development coverage (i.e., the area covered to building and pavement) to no more than 25% of the total site area. In addition, a minimum of 50% of the site area will be required to be open space lands. Further, the Planning Board would be able to designate a portion of these lands including existing sensitive environmental features such as wetlands, stream corridors or steep slopes as conservation easement lands or preserve them through other appropriate means. Development of this property for the purposes of constructing an age-restricted community as currently proposed (i.e., including the maximum development coverage and minimum open space requirements described above) should be done at a density of no greater than 1 unit per gross area. Reflective of this density, the property is indicated within the "Low Density Residential" land use classification on the Land Use Plan. However, if this property is not developed as an age-restricted community according to the parameters currently proposed (e.g., if it is proposed to be subdivided in the standard manner or proposed for development as a non-age-restricted development), such development should be subject to the property's existing RR-80 zoning designation. (Page D-5)

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

The following text would be added to the "Mixed-Use Development" section of the 2004 Comprehensive Plan, which begins on Page D-14. This section identifies several areas within the Town where the provision of mixed-use zoning districts to permit or encourage a well-designed mixture of commercial and residential development in a planned development would be appropriate. The proposed text specifically notes that each of these areas differ significantly from one another, thus different approaches should be applied.

35 36 37

Sterling Mine Road (former Lorterdan Property)

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45 The 242 acre former Lorterdan property on Sterling Mine Road is appropriate for a mixeduse development wherein the residential, office, institutional, and accessory uses are integrated in a campus setting comprising one or several adjacent tax lots under common ownership. Development should be clustered to protect ridgelines and scenic views from nearby Harriman State Park and Sterling Forest State Park, and from Sterling Mine Road, which is a town-designated scenic road. To encourage a smaller development footprint, increased heights should be permitted, provided they do not extend above the defined ridgeline peak and employ use of earth tone colors, and materials to minimize offsite visibility. Development coverage (i.e., the area covered to building and pavement) should be restricted to no more than 30% of the total site area. The preservation of contiguous areas of privately held open space should be encouraged. Sustainability measures, such as green roofs, roof-top solar, electric vehicle charging stations, and low-impact development techniques should also be employed.

The 2004 Plan's proposed Land Use Map is proposed to be amended to change the Project Site designation from "Low Density Residential (1 to 2 dwelling units per acre)" to "Mixed Use Development (Commercial & Multi-Family Development)."

The above changes are consistent with what was presented in the DEIS and the changes to the Proposed Action do not require further changes to the 2004 Comprehensive Plan.

MITIGATION

The Proposed Action would be consistent with surrounding land uses and is not anticipated to result in any significant adverse land use impacts. As such, mitigation measures are not required.

The proposed MU-3 Zoning has been designed to protect viewsheds and ridgelines, minimize development footprints and impervious surfaces, and includes strict performance criteria such that it would not proliferate throughout the Town of Ramapo. The performance criteria would be applicable to all uses within the proposed MU-3 Zoning District. If the applicant demonstrates that those criteria are met in the course of the CDSP review, the Proposed Action would not result in any significant adverse zoning impacts. As such, mitigation measures are not required.

The Town Board may consider additional zoning tools to achieve the same objectives of minimizing impacts to wetlands, natural resources, and viewsheds. Provided that such zoning tools are procedural in nature and do not expand the development potential of what was analyzed in the DEIS, FEIS, and this Statement of Findings, no further environmental review would be required.

With the proposed amendments to the 2004 Comprehensive Plan in place, the Proposed Action would be consistent with the Town of Ramapo Comprehensive Plan. The Proposed Action would not result in any significant adverse public policy impacts. As such, mitigation measures are not required.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency, for the reasons as discussed in Chapters 1 and 2 of the DEIS, and further discussed in the responses in Chapter 3 of the FEIS, finds that:

Land Use

1. The proposed land use is consistent with surrounding land uses, most notably the religious institutional uses to the west, and the proposed zoning provides for special permit review of the conceptual development plan by the Town Board to ensure that the proposed live/work use has been planned and designed to avoid or minimize any land use impacts

1

where feasible, and that it incorporates mitigation measures identified in the EIS, such as requiring that at least 50% of the site be maintained as open space, providing ample setbacks from roadways, landscaping, locating buildings and improvements in areas where the topography minimizes visual impacts, and requiring that buildings use colors, materials and architectural design to further minimize any visual impact on surrounding land uses.

7

Zoning

8 9 10

11 12

13

14

15

2. The proposed MU-3 zoning is consistent with the overall purpose and intent expressed in Chapter 376. It is also consistent with the prior proposal for development of the property as an active adult use, which was consistent with the Town's objective of preserving open space, and in doing so, restrict maximum development coverage to protect environmentally sensitive areas of the property where possible, while accommodating the needs of the Project Sponsor to use its property in a manner that furthers its religious purposes.

16 17 18

19 20

21

3. The proposed zoning has been appropriately revised in response to the recommendations of the County Planning Department, the Town's consulting planners and engineers, and comments received from the public and interested organizations during the review process to require a special permit to be issued by the Town Board for uses proposed in the MU-3 Zoning District.

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

4. The special permit process, which now provides for review of an applicant's conceptual development plan and supporting documents, a public hearing on that application, and allows, but does not require, the Town Board to permit additional building height if the applicant demonstrates to the Board that doing so will further the Town's objectives of protecting open space and reducing development coverage while reducing the visual impact of a development that conforms to the Town as of right building height, will further those Town objectives and encourage proper development of the site.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

5. In approving a special permit, the provision of the proposed zoning that authorizes the Town to approve tree clearing activities that allow the site to be cleared and graded in a comprehensive manner, consistent with the conceptual development plan approval, when the applicant demonstrates that doing so will reduce or elimination the need import or export material and reduce the time that the site is under construction, will further the Town objectives by reducing the construction period and its potential impacts on surrounding properties, reducing construction traffic and its impact on local roads, and ultimately allowing site disturbances to be completed and stabilized in a significantly shorter time period.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

6. In approving a special permit, the Town Board special permit process requires the conceptual development plan to be supported by a stormwater plan that addresses all stages of the proposed activities in more detail, and by an open space preservation plan that shows how environmentally sensitive areas can be protected, and how contiguous areas of habitat on the project site can be protected to the maximum extent feasible, which

DRAFT 13 v. 10.24.2022 will further the Town objectives of protecting environmentally sensitive areas and preserving contiguous open space areas for habitat, thereby providing further opportunity to ensure such areas are protected and any impacts are mitigated to the extent possible.

7. The proposed MU-3 zoning will, as described more fully in Section D.6 of these findings, avoid potential off-site visual impacts on the viewshed visible from public viewpoints by establishing a Ridgeline Protection Area that minimizes potential visibility of the Proposed Action from off-site locations by restricting development to lower elevations of the Project Site, below a minimum elevation of 800 feet above mean sea level, while the FEIS shows the top of the tallest proposed building would be at 779 feet above mean sea level

 8. That the zoning proposed by the Project Sponsor has appropriately responded to recommendations and comments from the Town Board, the Town's professional consultants, the County Planning Department, and interested members of the public and advocacy organization as follows:

a. the proposed FAR and development coverage have been reduced to FAR 0.25 and maximum development of 25% of the "net lot area";

b. the minimum lot area of the proposed use will continue to be determined by the gross area of the lot, reflecting that the entire lot will be planned and designed to allow the integrated development proposed by the Project Sponsor;

c. both the number of dwelling units (645) and the maximum/minimum residential FAR are now included, and the special permit will state the FAR and number of units allowed on the site development plan approved by the Planning Board.

Public Policy

 9. The proposed amendments to the 2004 Comprehensive Plan are appropriate, as they replace provisions of the Plan that described and/or addressed a specific project proposed by the former owner for the same property. In so finding, the Town Board notes that the Proposed Action is generally consistent with the planning recommendations of those sections: that 50% of the site be maintained as open space; that open space areas be contiguous where possible; that development coverage not exceed 25% of the site; that environmentally sensitive areas be protected by inclusion in open space areas where possible; and that sustainability measures be encouraged in any proposed development on the site.

10. That Proposed Action, like the Lorterndan project before it, proposes a use that is designed and planned to encompass the entire property, warranting its treatment as a discrete planning unit, and that the Proposed Action will, as noted previously noted, not only be consistent with – or some cases exceed - those planning recommendations above, but, as described in other sections of these findings, the Proposed Action is anticipated to result in substantial secondary economic impacts, provide services that minimize impacts on

emergency service providers, provide a sustainability model for similar development in the Town and the region, and also accommodate the religious purposes of the Project Sponsor.

11. For the reasons above, the Town Board finds that the zoning and Comprehensive Plan amendments that are part of the Proposed Action will not have any negative impact on Planning, Zoning, or Public Policy.

2. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

The potential for the Proposed Action to affect geology, soils, and topography on and within the vicinity of the Project Site was evaluated. Existing geology and soil resources as well as topography and steep slopes within the Project Site were characterized. The discussion of topography refers to issues related to elevation and slopes, while geology refers to both bedrock geology and geology of unconsolidated surficial deposits (including soils and sediments). Soil is typically considered the uppermost layer of the ground, which has been exposed to climatic and erosive forces.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

 Due to the variability of depth to bedrock over the site, a varying degree of rock excavation is anticipated to be required to achieve the proposed site grades, foundation subgrade elevations, and for the installation of utilities. Excavation within the gneiss bedrock would be required for many of the buildings, the majority of which would require the use of hydraulic hammers, line drilling, and/or blasting. During the CDSP review process, areas where blasting is likely will be identified. The Project Sponsor has represented that the activities to be approved as part of the CDSP may require blasting. The Project Sponsor will identify to the Town Board areas on the Project Site where blasting may occur. A detailed blasting plan will be developed and submitted to the Town Building Inspector and/or the Town's consultants for review and approval prior to any blasting being permitted to occur and shall be provided only for phases of work that will require blasting.

In general, controlled blasting methods would be used to reduce the potential for disturbance of rock mass behind the final slopes and for uncontrolled blast-related ground vibrations. All blasting will be completed in accordance with state and local regulations. Pursuant to Section 104-6 of the Town Code, the Applicant would, at minimum, notify the Town and all property owners whose property falls within a radius of 750 feet from the blasting site at least 48 hours in advance of blasting. The majority of the blasting would occur in the center of the Project Site and would be greater than 750 feet from the property line. However, notification to Consolidated Edison (ConEd), which owns the transmission lines adjacent to the Project Site, and the properties that separate the Project Site from Sterling Mine Road is anticipated. Notification to Table Rock Estates may also be required due to the location of the property line. However, existing structures on Table Rock Estates would be more than 1,500 feet from the blasting area.

The Proposed Action would result in approximately 116 acres of disturbance. The Proposed Project has been designed to balance cut and fill on the Project Site. In raw numbers, to account

for bulking factors¹ the proposed volume of cut (1,689,000 cubic yards) is less than the proposed volume of fill (2,110,000 cubic yards). The analysis assumed 6% on-grade construction materials (foundations, trenches and roads) and a bulking factor of approximately 18%. Any changes in the bulking characteristics would be accommodated by adjusting the height of the sports fields and backlot to eliminate the need for import or export of materials. The cut and fill analysis has been reviewed by the Town's consulting engineers, and the methodologies employed found to be acceptable.

1 2

MITIGATION

Construction activities will be required to comply with Chapters 104, Blasting, and 188, Noise of the Town of Ramapo Code regarding construction noise and hours of operation.

1. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been prepared by the Project Sponsor as part of the EIS that illustrates project feasibility with respect to managing construction phase erosion and sediment controls as well as outlining the permanent stormwater features that will be constructed to provide water quality and quantity controls. The SWPPP that was prepared has been reviewed by the Town's consulting engineer and found to be generally in conformance with the General Permit GP-0-20-001, The New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual, New York Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control and Chapter 237 of the Ramapo Town Code. The Project Sponsor will submit a project specific SWPPP to the Town during land use approvals for review by the Town Board, in connection with its CDSP, and the Planning Board, during site development plan review, at which time it will be reviewed by the Town's consulting engineer.

a. The SEC Plan implements the following temporary erosion and sediment control measures:

i. Prior to the start of construction activities, a stabilized construction entrance will be installed at vehicle traffic entrance and exit points.

ii. Dust control measures will be implemented as needed, such as water trucks.iii. Materials such as topsoil and stone will be temporarily stockpiled on the Project

Site during construction.

iv. Stockpiles will be located away from storm drainage and water bodies and will be protected from erosion.

v. A silt fence will be constructed along the areas of ground disturbance, as identified on Project plans. The silt fence will be inspected daily and after significant storm events and will be maintained as needed.

¹ As discussed in the FEIS, "Bulking" is the increase in volume of material when it is excavated from its in-situ location. The bulking factor is the percentage of the volume change of excavated material to the volume of the original in situ volume before excavation.

- vi. Temporary seeding will occur on areas that are cleared or graded where work has stopped (temporarily or permanently) stabilizing the soil with temporary vegetative cover.
- vii. Silt fences and temporary sediment traps will be used to intercept runoff to reduce the amount of sediment leaving the site.

b. The SEC Plan provides the following permanent mitigation measures to be implemented at the conclusion of Project:

i. Areas that will be vegetated will be seeded, mulched, and maintained as outlined in the Project plans after completion of the major construction activity.

 i. Soil restoration will be completed where soils have been disturbed and will be vegetated to restore healthy soil.

 iii. Soil restoration will follow practices outlined in the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control and in the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual (NYSDEC, 2015a).

iv. Erosion control blankets will be installed to provide erosion protection and to assist with rapid establishment of vegetation.

v. Stone check dams will be installed in vegetated dry swales to provide soil stabilization and decrease stormwater runoff rates.

2. As the Town of Ramapo is an MS4 community, the SWPPP prepared as part of the EIS, which illustrates feasibility level design has been reviewed by the Town's consulting engineer and found to be generally in conformance with the referenced standards. A SWPPP shall be submitted for each discrete land use approval requested for review and approval by the Town and their consultants. Prior to any soil disturbances occurring, the Town's Stormwater Management Office (SMO) shall sign an MS4 SWPPP Acceptance Form at which time the applicant may file a Notice of Intent (NOI) with the NYSDEC to gain permit coverage under GP-0-20-001.

3. The project is anticipated to disturb more than 5-acres at one given time. As such, a 5-acre disturbance waiver shall be submitted to the Town of Ramapo for review and consideration. The applicant has presented a conceptual phasing plan in the FEIS that identifies site development stages, comprising approximately 20 phases. No phase proposes more than 25-acres of disturbance at one time.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency finds that:

The Town Board finds that the SWPPP prepared as part of the EIS which is for feasibility
provides adequate construction phase controls to manage soil erosion during construction
to avoid turbid discharges to receiving water bodies or down gradient stormwater
infrastructure. Further, the SWPPP outlines the design of the permanent stormwater

features to meet both the water quality and quantity standards of GP-0-20-001, the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual and Chapter 237 of the Town Code.

2. The Project Sponsor will be required to submit a project specific SWPPP for each land use approval requested that shall be reviewed and approved by the Town and their consulting engineer.

3. Implementation of the SWPPP must include the Applicant's inspection of controls and reporting of same to the Town SMO, and by the Town's monitoring and enforcement as required by all applicable local, County and State laws, and the NYSDEC Permit Requirements.

4. Compliance with the SWPPP during construction will be a condition of all land use approvals and is a requirement to be in compliance with GP-0-20-001. The Project Sponsor is required to retain a qualified inspector to perform weekly SWPPP inspection during all phases of the construction. Twice weekly if a 5-acre disturbance waiver is granted. The inspection report will be circulated to entities identified by the Town. The inspection log will be maintained onsite in a SWPPP mailbox. At the same time, inspectors from Town will oversee construction, reviewing the reports from qualified inspector, and monitor the Site as per the report and ensure that any necessary corrective action is taken. The SWPPP ensures that a thorough maintenance and repair program will be in place which will be inspected at frequencies identified in the General Permit or at greater frequencies deemed necessary by the Applicant. The Town also have authority to conduct routine or random inspections of the site pursuant to the General Permit.

 5. Best Management Practices (BMPs) that must be followed in SEC Plan are diverting clean surface water before it reaches any active construction area, and deaccelerating and distributing stormwater runoff through natural vegetative buffers or structural means before discharging it to off-site areas. As the Town Building Department determines appropriate, silt fences will be supplemented by orange construction fencing to define the limit of site work and protect wooded and wetland areas that will not be regraded and will be retained in their existing condition until the developed areas are completed and stabilized. Sediment runoff which occurs on site must be trapped prior to reaching critical areas like wetlands. Because some of the major improvements for the Project, such as parking areas, access roads and buildings, will require disturbance of the existing topography, the following engineering standards shall be implemented as appropriate in the development of the site specific SWPPP during site plan review: silt fences, retaining walls, inlet protection, temporary sediment basins, temporary swales, vegetative measures, stabilized construction entrances, temporary stockpile, dust control measures and slope stabilization.

 6. It will be a condition of land use approvals that the Project Sponsor enters into a Stormwater Control Facility Maintenance Agreement with the Town. The Agreement ensures that the stormwater control measures will be built in accordance with the approved

project plans and thereafter be maintained, cleaned, repaired, replaces and continued in perpetuity in order to ensure optimum performance of the components.

7. The Project Sponsor will apply for a 5-acre disturbance waiver that is subject to the review and approval of the Town of Ramapo. As part of this waiver request, the Project Sponsor will submit the required waiver to the Town for review. Only after the Town's Stormwater Management Officer issues an approval, may more than 5-acres of land be disturbed. The applicant is aware that the granting of such waiver is a discretionary decision of the Town, one that may be denied, suspended or revoked based upon specific circumstances. The Town may choose to engage the NYSDEC in the review of the disturbance waiver request prior to issuance of an approval or disapproval.

 8. All blasting shall be completed in accordance with state and local regulations. Pursuant to Section 104-6 of the Town Code, the Applicant shall notify all property owners whose property falls within a radius of 750 feet from the blasting site at least 48 hours in advance of blasting. As part of any Site Plan approval that may be granted, a blasting plan shall be provided.

The Town Board finds that the Proposed Action's potential impacts to geological resources will be mitigated, and any potentially significant adverse impacts of the development will be negated, through the implementation of an SEC Plan to address potential soil erosion and dust that could occur during construction and a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to ensure that during the post-development site conditions, all runoff generated from the site will be managed and that adequate water quality and quantity controls are being provided. GP-0-20-001 and Chapter 237 of the Town Code provides necessary means to monitor and enforce implementation of the SEC Plan and SWPPP during construction, and the Applicant will enter into a binding Stormwater Control Facility Maintenance Agreement with the Town that will ensure all permanent stormwater controls on the property are properly operated and maintained by the designated responsible party acceptable to the Town.

3. NATURAL RESOURCES

 The plant and animal communities and habitat types that occupy the Project Site and the potential impacts to those resources from the development of the Proposed Action were evaluated. Impacts from construction and operation of the Proposed Action to vegetation, ecological communities, wildlife, threatened, endangered, and special concern species, as well as significant habitats, were assessed by considering land clearing, forest fragmentation, visual and noise disturbances, and post-construction habitat restoration.

The Project Site is a 249-acre tract of second growth forest within a heavily forested area of eastern Rockland County and Orange County, New York, and western Bergen County, New Jersey. The site is contiguous or nearly contiguous with thousands of acres of additional woodland to the north, south, and west, including state lands and other protected areas. It therefore primarily supports forest-interior wildlife species, and those that have large area requirements and are sensitive to fragmentation. There are also vernal pools, an ephemeral stream, a small red maple swamp and a

small portion of a NYSDEC-mapped freshwater wetland on the Project Site that provide aquatic habitat for amphibians and reptiles. Federally or state-listed species and significant natural communities that are either known or considered to have the potential to occur within the Project Site on the basis of their habitat requirements include: Indiana bat (U.S. and NYS endangered), northern long-eared bat (U.S. and NYS threatened), Jefferson salamander (NYS special concern), marbled salamander (NYS special concern), spotted turtle (NYS special concern), eastern box turtle (NYS special concern), timber rattlesnake (NYS threatened), whip-poor-will (NYS special concern), and Appalachian oak-hickory forest.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Throughout the design process of the Proposed Action, efforts were made to avoid and minimize wetland and natural resource impacts by reducing ground disturbance and impervious surface coverage to the greatest extent practicable. Such measures included reducing surface parking coverage through use of multi-level parking structures, minimizing roadway and sidewalk widths where possible, minimizing building footprints by increasing building heights, ensuring that utilities and services are centrally located where possible, and eliminating a road through the western portion of the site from the A/V center to the residential area. Additionally, the use of retaining walls would be used throughout the site to accommodate the necessary grade changes without requiring large swaths of land to be cleared and re-graded.

The clearing and grading areas have been updated based on the revised site plan. The Proposed Action would now clear 113 acres of trees on the 249-acre Project Site to construct the A/V Production Center, which would include audio and video production studios, offices, residential buildings, parking garages, sports fields, and various other buildings and facilities. This is an increase from the 103 acres of tree clearing that was presented in the DEIS. However, 79.65 of these acres would be revegetated as part of the Proposed Action and 40 percent of the Project Site would be preserved as deed-restricted open space.

Since the issuance of the DEIS, a timber rattlesnake spring emergence survey was conducted by a NYSDEC-approved timber rattlesnake expert and found no timber rattlesnakes or evidence of active dens on the Project Site. The closest know winter dens are located in New Jersey, approximately 1.3 miles and 1.8 miles from the Project Site..

Overall, construction of the Proposed Action is not expected to significantly impact local populations of any wildlife species. Potential impacts to wildlife from the operation of the Proposed Action would primarily include disturbances from human activity, noise, and nighttime lighting. However, following development of the Project Site, the wildlife community would inherently be composed of human-adapted species that are tolerant of disturbance and commonly inhabit areas with human activity. Therefore, existing species that remain post-construction or new species that colonize the Project Site after the Proposed Action is built would not be adversely affected by human activity associated with the A/V Production Center's operation.

DRAFT 20 v. 10.24.2022

MITIGATION

While the project will result in the removal of mature forest, the Applicant has committed to a planting plan comprised of native species as well as an invasive species management plan to help introduce a more native replacement landscape than if these plans were not in place. Over 79 acres would be revegetated as part of the Proposed Action, including the planting of 9,467 new trees, 26,544 new shrubs, 490,000 square feet of lawn, and 1,315,000 square feet of wild grasses. All tree removal conforms with guidance provided by NYSDEC for protection of the timber rattlesnake, the Indiana and northern long-eared bat. Landscaping within the Project Site is proposed to also include green roofs on the residential buildings. The green roofs help to mitigate impacts to ecological communities by replacing otherwise impervious structures with vegetated roofs that would provide habitat for some species of insects and wildlife.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency finds that:

1. The planting plan shall be comprised of native species as well as an invasive species management plan to help introduce a more native replacement landscape. The planting plan shall revegetated over 79 acres, including the planting of 9,467 new trees, 26,544 new shrubs, 490,000 square feet of lawn, and 1,315,000 square feet of wild grasses. The planting plan shall be designed to assist with the various functions of the site, in particular to maximize its ecological richness, biodiversity, biophilic and education attributes. In addition, 50 percent of the Project Site shall be preserved as deed-restricted open space.

2. Landscaping within the Project Site shall include green roofs on the residential buildings.

3. To minimize any potential effects of the Proposed Action on timber rattlesnakes, the following protective measures shall be implemented:

a. All tree clearing is planned to be within the October 31 and March 31 denning period, when timber rattlesnakes are inactive and underground;

b. All construction contractors working on the Project Site will be required to perform job-site training for all personnel working at the Project Site, including snake identification and actions to be taken if a rattlesnake is encountered;

c. Construction personnel would consistently inspect active construction areas for coiled or crossing snakes, and immediately halt activity and contact NYSDEC Region 8 headquarters should a rattlesnake be observed; and

d. As part of any blasting plan, the Project Sponsor will be required to coordinate with NYSDEC on mitigation of any potential impacts from blasting activities.

4. The tree removal window of October 31 through March 31 noted for the timber rattlesnake conforms with guidance provided by NYSDEC for protection of the Indiana and northern

long-eared bat. If due to unforeseen reasons the tree clearing cannot be accomplished during the clearing window, the Applicant shall consult with NYSDEC and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service before undertaking tree removal activities after March 31

5. All outdoor lighting shall be downward-directional and shielded to avoid trespass into adjacent habitats and potential effects on wildlife, including bats. Woodland buffers shall remain around the protected vernal pools to further limit potential exposure of the pools to nighttime lighting to avoid effects of light pollution on amphibian behavior.

6. With implementation of the tree cutting restrictions, the best management practices, and deed-restricted open space, adverse impacts to the protected Indiana bat, Northern long-eared bat, and timber rattlesnakes will be minimized to the maximum extent practicable. In addition, the preservation and non-disturbance of remaining habitat is adequate to accommodate displaced non-regulated species so that there will be no significant impact to those species as well. With the native species replanting plan and the deed-restricted open space, adverse impacts to vegetation and wildlife will be minimized to the maximum extent practicable.

4. SURFACE WATER, FLOOD PLAINS, GROUNDWATER RESOURCES, AND WETLANDS

The potential for the Proposed Action to affect water resources (i.e., wetlands, surface waters, floodplains, and groundwater) on and within the vicinity of the Project Site was evaluated. Thirteen forested wetlands, comprising a total of 14.3 acres, were delineated within the Project Site, some of which are under USACE jurisdiction. The Project Site is located within flood hazard zone X (an area of minimal flood hazard) and is in the EPA-designated Ramapo River Basin Aquifer Systems and within a Rockland Country Groundwater Protection Zone.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Throughout the design process of the Proposed Action, efforts have been made to avoid and minimize wetland impacts to the greatest extent possible by reducing ground disturbance and impervious surface coverage to the extent practicable. Such measures included reducing surface parking coverage through use of multi-level parking structures, minimizing roadway and sidewalk widths where possible, minimizing building footprints by increasing building heights, ensuring that utilities and services are centrally located where possible, and eliminating a road through the western portion of the site from the audio/video center to the residential area. Additionally, the use of retaining walls will be used throughout the site to accommodate the necessary grade changes without requiring large swaths of land to be cleared and re-graded.

Table 4 presents the updated USACE jurisdictional wetland impact areas for the Proposed Action.
The FEIS includes a greater amount of temporary disturbance than what was presented in the
DEIS because it includes areas within the wetlands that would be disturbed as part of the
Landscape Master Plan, which includes selective tree removal and plantings within the wetlands.

Table 4 Wetland Impacts

Area	Area Disturbed (SF)	Туре	Description
Federal Wetland FW11	493	Permanent	Construction of Residential bridge
Federal Wetland FW11	1,218	Permanent	Construction of boardwalk
Federal Wetland FW1	1,310	Permanent	Construction of boardwalks
Federal Wetland FW2	95	Permanent	Construction of boardwalks
Federal Wetland FW2	2,737	Permanent	Construction of retaining walls
Federal Wetland FW10	282	Permanent	Construction of main entrance
TOTAL	6,135	Permanent	
Federal Wetland FW11	92,331	Temporary	Temporary impacts for selective tree removals
Federal Wetland FW11	2,220	Temporary	Temporary impacts for bridge construction
TOTAL	94,551	Temporary	
Federal Wetland FW11	15,777	Compensatory	Multiple areas around FW 11

1 2

Well drilling for the closed-loop geothermal system would be performed by a New York State and Rockland County Registered Driller and all wells would be subject to permitting by the Rockland County Health Department. Construction of the Proposed Action would not result in significant groundwater withdrawals and would not have the potential to contaminate groundwater.

MITIGATION MEASURES

The Proposed Action includes targeted planting of native species across the Project Site and along newly disturbed edge habitats to help offset impacts resulting from the clearing of trees. Establishment of diverse vegetation and creation of ecotones (the transition between the newly cut forest edge and the landscaped areas around the buildings, parking areas, etc.) provide a variety of habitats and potential food sources that could help to increase wildlife diversity post-construction.

Sediment and erosion control measures (e.g., silt fencing and hay bales) would be implemented during construction of the Proposed Action, consistent with the SWPPP. To manage stormwater runoff from impervious surfaces during operation, the Proposed Action would include green infrastructure practices, supplemented with standard stormwater management practices (SMPs) where needed.

Permanent impacts to USACE-regulated wetlands would require mitigation. Typically, impacts to vegetated freshwater wetlands are mitigated by creation of similar wetlands in a ratio that is determined by USACE during the permitting process. The Applicant proposes 15,777 square feet of compensatory mitigation, which exceeds the proposed 6,135 square feet of permanent wetland disturbance by a ratio of greater than 2.5:1 (see **Table 4**). The Applicant intends to apply for a Nationwide Permit 39 (institutional development). Temporarily disturbed wetlands will be restored to existing conditions following construction of the Proposed Action.

The implementation of the SWPPP will avoid any potential significant adverse stormwater impacts to surface water quality. Mitigation for the permanent impacts to USACE-regulated

wetlands would offset those impacts; specific mitigation requirements would be determined during the permitting process.

 Based upon the Proposed Action's avoidance of wetlands and surface water resources to the maximum extent practicable and its compliance with federal, State, and Town regulation of these resources, including wetland mitigation requirements, the Lead Agency finds that the proposed Project will adequately mitigate the impacts to wetlands and surface water resources. The Proposed Action would not result in a significant adverse impact to groundwater or the floodplain.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

 The Town Board finds that the Proposed Action's potential impacts to waters flood plains, groundwater resources and wetlands will be minimized and mitigated. Permanent impacts to USACE-regulated wetlands would require mitigation which will be carried out with compensatory mitigation at a ratio greater than 2.5:1.

5. STORMWATER

Existing and proposed stormwater runoff conditions on the Project Site were evaluated.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The Proposed Action would increase impervious surface coverage on the Project Site and require a SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities (GP-0-20-001).

FINDINGS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Green infrastructure practices and standard SMPs would be implemented throughout the site to reduce and treat stormwater runoff. Green infrastructure practices would include measures such as green roofs, bioretention facilities, and open channel dry swales. Standard SMPs would include a selection of stormwater treatment ponds and wetlands, which would help provide treatment of the WQv, extended detention of the 24-hour, 1-year storm event, and peak flow mitigation of the 10-year and 100-year flood events. With implementation of these runoff reduction techniques, there would be a zero net incremental rate of discharge.

The SWPPP phasing plan is provided in the FEIS and is incorporated herein by reference. With the stormwater management measures detailed in the FEIS in place, no significant adverse stormwater impacts are anticipated.

The Project Sponsor will be required to submit a project specific SWPPP prior to each land use approval being considered. The Town of Ramapo as a traditional land use MS4 shall review the submitted SWPPP for compliance with General Permit GP-0-20-001, The New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual, Chapter 235 of the Town of Ramapo Code and the New York Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control. If found to be technically correct, the Town's SMO will issue an MS4 SWPPP Acceptance Form, the Project Sponsor may

DRAFT 24 v. 10.24.2022

then submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) seeking permit coverage and only after the requisite time period has passed from the submission of the NOI may soil disturbances occur.

The Project Sponsor will apply for a 5-acre disturbance waiver that is subject to the review and approval of the Town of Ramapo. As part of this waiver request, the Project Sponsor will submit the required waiver to the Town for review. Only after the Town's Stormwater Management Officer issues an approval, may more than 5-acres of land be disturbed.

The applicant is aware that the granting of such waiver is a discretionary decision of the Town, one that may be denied, suspended or revoked based upon specific circumstances. The Town may choose to engage the NYSDEC in the review of the disturbance waiver request prior to issuance of an approval or disapproval.

A decision on the waiver request will not be made until after the project has gained coverage under GP-0-20-001 and has received the NYSDEC Acknowledgement of Permit Coverage letter.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency finds that:

1. The SWPPP prepared as part of the EIS, which is for feasibility, outlines the design of the permanent stormwater features to meet both the water quality and quantity standards of GP-0-20-001, the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual and Chapter 237 of the Town Code.

2. The Project Sponsor will be required to submit a project specific SWPPP for each land use approval requested that shall be reviewed and approved by the Town and their consulting engineer.

The Town Board finds that the Proposed Action's potential impacts to stormwater will be minimized and mitigated, and any potentially significant adverse impacts of the development will be negated, through the implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to ensure that during the post-development site conditions, all runoff generated from the site will be managed and that adequate water quality and quantity controls are being provided. The Applicant will enter into a binding Stormwater Control Facility Maintenance Agreement with the Town that will ensure all permanent stormwater controls on the property are properly operated and maintained by the designated responsible party acceptable to the Town.

6. VISUAL RESOURCES AND COMMUNITY CHARACTER

The potential visual impacts of the Proposed Action from forty-three Viewpoints identified in the adopted Scoping Document were evaluated. The Lead Agency considered responses from involved and interest agencies and the public recommending viewpoints for this impact analysis and selected viewpoints based on potential Project Site visibility from selected locations along public roadways and trails.

Although not subject to NYSDEC review for visual impacts, the potential visual impacts of the Proposed Action were assessed based on the NYSDEC Program Policy document "Assessing and Mitigating Visual and Aesthetic Impacts," last revised December 13, 2019 (DEP-00-2). This policy document provides useful guidelines for assessing potential visual impact.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The Proposed Action has been designed to minimize its visibility from adjacent and distant uses including Sterling Mine Road, and designated resources. As detailed in Chapter 7 of the DEIS, Sterling Mine Road is one of ten Town of Ramapo designated Scenic Road Districts, which include all property in unincorporated areas of the Town of Ramapo within 1,000 feet from the centerline of the designated road. The Town of Ramapo established a Scenic Road District (Chapter 215 of the Town Code) to preserve its "historic resources, stone walls, other natural features, and scenic views from its roadways and other public areas" that contribute to the overall character of the Town. The proposed buildings would be set back from Sterling Mine Road and at a lower elevation than the ridgeline. Intermittent views of the Project Site would be visible to those traveling along Sterling Mine Road. The greatest potential for visibility of the Proposed Action would occur at the Project Site entrances, as the Proposed Action involves clearing and grading near the roadway. Project Site visibility would increase during the leaf-off condition. During both the leaf-on and leaf-off condition, views into the interior of the Project Site would be limited by the retention of existing healthy mature trees, addition of new landscaping, and the proposed orientation of the buildings. The Proposed Action is consistent with the applicable provisions of Chapter 215 of the Town Code.

The unique location and topography of the Project Site can accommodate buildings that are 75 feet in height without causing significant adverse visual impacts. The Project Site is setback from Sterling Mine Road and rises from an elevation of approximately 500 feet along its perimeter to 860 feet above sea level at the top of the ridge in the southeast portion of the site. The low point of the site is in its northern corner along the Nakoma Brook and Sterling Mine Road. The Proposed Action would preserve the natural features of the property—particularly its rock outcroppings, wetlands, and steep slopes—to the greatest extent possible. This would be accomplished by organizing the majority of the development along a central spine between the 600-foot and 700-foot elevation contour on the north side of the ridge. Only one building, the proposed backlot, would be located on the south side of the ridge at an elevation of 700 feet. To minimize the project's footprint, most of the parking would be located in garaged structures.

This central spine avoids impacting wetlands at the lower elevations of the Project Site and provides a greater buffer between Sterling Mine Road and the proposed building. It also avoids developing higher on the ridgeline, which would increase visibility of the Proposed Action from offsite locations. The majority of the development is above Sterling Mine Road, yet well below the peak of the ridgeline and thus screened from view. Existing water features such as ponds and streams would be unchanged. Existing wetlands would be avoided where feasible. Where wetland impacts cannot be avoided, an extensive wetland mitigation plan including native plantings and invasive species management is proposed. In addition, the Proposed Action includes an extensive

landscaping plan to enhance the function and biodiversity of the Project Site, while maintaining existing habitat corridors and preserving large contiguous forested areas.

Portions of the Proposed Action would be visible from several studied Viewpoints, including some trails within New York State parks. While this visibility may be considered an unavoidable impact, it would not constitute a significant adverse impact as there are many existing structures in the intervening landscape. These existing structures include those both similar to the Proposed Project in size, style, and use, and dissimilar, including water towers and high-tension power lines, with their adjacent clear-cut areas. The Proposed Action would retain existing vegetation around the perimeter of the Project Site to minimize visibility of the interior from Sterling Mine Road.

An updated visual analysis included in the FEIS demonstrated that the increase in size of the A/V Studio building from 120,000 square feet to 290,678 square feet would not significantly change the potential visibility of the Proposed Action as the proposed height would not change (see FEIS Table 1-3). As such, the conclusions reached in the DEIS remain valid.

 In addition, the Project Sponsor provided a visual analysis of a 45-foot height alternative in Appendix I to the FEIS. Although the buildings in this 45-foot height alternative would not be as tall, it shows that 20 additional acres of trees and vegetation would have to be cleared to achieve the same building square footage. In addition, buildings would need to be located higher on the ridge than the Proposed Action.

Furthermore, to balance the additional 390,000 cubic yards of cut on site, the grades across the site would need to be raised, thus further increasing the overall height of the buildings. Locating the buildings higher on the ridgeline and adding more fill to balance the site and provide at grade access to the buildings would negate any perceived benefit of a lower height alternative, as this layout has the potential to be more visible than the Proposed Action from off-site locations. As further discussed in the Alternatives section below, this alternative results in additional impacts compared to the Proposed Action in other areas, including additional blasting during construction, increased impervious area, increased wetland impacts, and decreased contiguous open space.

MITIGATION

 The Proposed Action has incorporated into the project design the following measures to minimize the visibility of the Proposed Action, such that no significant adverse visual impacts would result:

Extensive landscaping and a tree preservation plan;

• Earth tone building colors and low reflective glass;

• Orientation of buildings to avoid sun glare;

• Limiting building heights to well below the ridgeline;

• A variety of native deciduous and evergreen trees and shrubs;

- 1 2 3 4
- Landscaping at the entrance to the Project Site and throughout the interior of the Project Site;

5 6 • The retention of existing healthy and mature vegetation around the perimeter of the Project Site, and infill of healthy native species where appropriate;

7

• Orientation of buildings and indoor lighting controls to minimize interior lighting; and

9 10

• Site lighting with full cutoff shields to limit lateral spread of light to be dark-sky friendly.

11 12

13

14

The existing vegetation, Proposed Landscaping Plan, and intervening topography would reduce most views of the Proposed Action from off-site locations. While the Proposed Action may be visible from trail sections within Sterling Forest and Harriman State Parks, mere visibility is not considered a significant adverse impact.¹

15 16 17

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

18 19

20

21

22

The Town Board acting as Lead Agency has reviewed and considered the potential visual impacts of the Proposed Action from forty-three Viewpoints identified in the adopted Scoping Document. The Lead Agency considered responses from involved and interest agencies and the public recommending viewpoints for this impact analysis and selected viewpoints based on potential Project Site visibility from selected locations along public roadways and trails.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

1. The Lead Agency recognizes the Proposed Action has been designed to minimize its visibility from adjacent and distant uses including Sterling Mine Road, and designated resources. The Lead Agency finds that the Proposed Action is consistent with the applicable provisions of Chapter 215 of the Town Code. As detailed in Chapter 7 of the DEIS, Sterling Mine Road is one of ten Town of Ramapo designated Scenic Road Districts, which include all property in unincorporated areas of the Town of Ramapo within 1,000 feet from the centerline of the designated road. The Town of Ramapo established a Scenic Road District (Chapter 215 of the Town Code) to preserve its "historic resources, stone walls, other natural features, and scenic views from its roadways and other public areas" that contribute to the overall character of the Town. The proposed buildings would be set back from Sterling Mine Road and at a lower elevation than the ridgeline. Intermittent views of the Project Site would be visible to those traveling along Sterling Mine Road. The greatest potential for visibility of the Proposed Action would occur at the Project Site entrances, as the Proposed Action involves clearing and grading near the roadway. Project Site visibility would increase during the leaf-off condition. During both the leaf-on and leaf-off condition, views into the interior of the Project Site

¹ New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Program Policy document "Assessing and Mitigating Visual and Aesthetic Impacts" last revised December 13, 2019 (DEP-00-2).

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

DRAFT

- would be limited by the retention of existing healthy mature trees, addition of new 2 landscaping, and the proposed orientation of the buildings.
 - 2. The Lead Agency finds that the unique location and topography of the Project Site has the potential to accommodate buildings that are 75 feet in height without causing significant adverse visual impacts. In the event that the Project Sponsor requests that additional building height be allowed as part of its CDSP application, it will have to submit an additional height development plan and demonstrate that it meets the zoning standards for allowing the building height proposed on that plan. The Project Site is setback from Sterling Mine Road and rises from an elevation of approximately 500 feet along its perimeter to 860 feet above sea level at the top of the ridge in the southeast portion of the site. The low point of the site is in its northern corner along the Nakoma Brook and Sterling Mine Road. The Proposed Action would preserve the natural features of the property—particularly its rock outcroppings, wetlands, and steep slopes—to the greatest extent practicable. This would be accomplished by organizing the majority of the development along a central spine between the 600-foot and 700-foot elevation contour on the north side of the ridge. Only one building, the proposed backlot, would be located on the south side of the ridge at an elevation of 700 feet. To minimize the project's footprint, most of the parking would be located in garaged structures.
 - 3. The Lead Agency finds that while portions of the Proposed Action would be visible from several studied Viewpoints, including some trails within New York State parks, this visibility may be considered an unavoidable impact, and would not constitute a significant adverse impact as there are many existing structures in the intervening landscape. These existing structures include those both similar to the Proposed Project in size, style, and use, and dissimilar, including water towers and high-tension power lines, with their adjacent clear-cut areas.
 - 4. The Lead Agency finds that an updated visual analysis included in the FEIS demonstrated that the increase in size of the A/V Studio building from 120,000 square feet to 290,678 square feet would not significantly change the potential visibility of the Proposed Action as the proposed height would not change (see FEIS Table 1-3). As such, the analysis conducted in the DEIS remains valid.
 - 5. The Lead Agency finds that the provided visual analysis of a 45-foot height alternative in Appendix I to the FEIS demonstrates that the 20 additional acres of trees and vegetation that would have to be cleared to achieve the same building square footage and the increased elevation of some of the buldings would increase the visual impacts of the Proposed Action.
 - 6. The Lead Agency finds that the Proposed Action has incorporated into the project design the following measures to minimize the visibility of the Proposed Action, such that no significant adverse visual impacts would result:

29

v. 10.24.2022

a. Extensive landscaping and a tree preservation plan;

b. Earth tone building colors and low reflective glass;

1

2

41

42 43

44

45

3 Orientation of buildings to avoid sun glare; 4 5 d. Limiting building heights to well below the ridgeline; 6 7 A variety of native deciduous and evergreen trees and shrubs; 8 9 f. Landscaping at the entrance to the Project Site and throughout the interior of the 10 Project Site; 11 The retention of existing healthy and mature vegetation around the perimeter of the 12 13 Project Site, and infill of healthy native species where appropriate; 14 15 h. Orientation of buildings and indoor lighting controls to minimize interior lighting; 16 and 17 18 Site lighting with full cutoff shields to limit lateral spread of light to be dark-sky 19 friendly. 20 21 7. For the reasons above, the Town Board finds that the Proposed Action will not have a 22 significant adverse impact as associated with visual impacts and community character. 23 24 7. COMMUNITY FACILITIES 25 26 The potential impact to emergency services (police, fire, ambulance); schools; water, sewer, and 27 solid waste service providers; and parks and recreational resources that may occur as a result of 28 implementation of the Proposed Action were evaluated. The Project Site is located primarily 29 within the Town of Ramapo, with a small portion in the Town of Tuxedo and in close proximity 30 to the Village of Sloatsburg. 31 32 POTENTIAL IMPACTS 33 34 Emergency services calls from project residents would be routed primarily to providers within the 35 Town of Ramapo, which would be supported by mutual aid from the Town of Tuxedo and Village 36 of Sloatsburg. Public emergency service providers would be supplemented by on-site, private, 37 emergency service providers. 38 39 The Project Site is located in the Suffern Central School District. However, the Project Sponsor 40 has expressly represented that the project would not generate any school age children and therefore

The Project Site is located in the Rockland County Sewer District #1 (RCSD1). Initial

correspondence with RCSD has not indicated any capacity concerns related Project-generated

demand. Water service would be provided by Veolia North America (formerly SUEZ Water North

would have no effect on the school district's resources.

America), which has stated that sufficient capacity exists to serve the Proposed Project. Solid waste and recycling services would be provided by private carter.

The Proposed Action includes on-site recreational resources for its 1,240 residents. Facilities for resident use would include hiking, sports fields, and fitness studios. In addition, the Project Site is located in an area well-served by County and State parkland.

 Regarding the updates between the DEIS and FEIS, the changes to the Proposed Action would not increase the number of people living and working on the Project Site, nor would it increase the number of visitors. Further, the addition of a FAR cap for residential uses included with the revised MU-3 zoning would reduce the maximum number of potential hypothetical residents from what was analyzed in the DEIS. As such, the analysis and conclusions presented in the DEIS remain valid.

MITIGATION

The Lead Agency finds that the Proposed Action would not result in any significant adverse impacts to emergency services (police, fire, ambulance); schools; water, sewer, and solid waste service providers; and parks and recreational resources. However, the Applicant has stated that it understands that the development of this parcel will potentially require greater attention from the Sloatsburg Fire Department than in the parcel's current vacant state. Therefore, it has agreed to make a one-time contribution to the Village of Sloatsburg to obtain replacement mini-pumper and brush trucks that are 44 and 63 years old respectively. These will enable the fire district to service the Proposed Project as well as the greater community.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency finds that:

1. The Applicant shall make a one-time contribution to the Village of Sloatsburg to obtain replacement mini-pumper and brush trucks that are 44 and 63 years old respectively. These will enable the fire district to service the Proposed Project as well as the greater community.

2. The Proposed Action's mitigated impact on recreational resources is predicated on the development of sports fields and outdoor passive recreational opportunities as part of the Proposed Development. The DEIS analysis also considers potential amendments to the Proposed Action in the future including the development of buildings on the currently proposed sports fields and back lot. Should these recreational amenities be removed as part of an amended Site Plan, the impact of the Proposed Action on Town recreational resources shall require additional analysis.

DRAFT 31 v. 10.24.2022

8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

The Proposed Action would require permits and approvals from the Towns of Ramapo and Tuxedo, Rockland, and Orange Counties, NYSDEC, and USACE. The Proposed Action is therefore subject to SEQRA and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Historic Resources

At present, there is only one structure on the Project Site, at its northwest corner: a structurally deficient stone bridge that crosses a small stream and provides the only vehicular access into the interior of the property. The bridge is approximately 20 feet wide and consists of stone gravity walls on both sides of an elevated dirt road. In a letter dated December 29, 2020, the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation (OPRHP) determined that the bridge is not eligible for listing on the State or National Register of Historic Places. The reconstruction of this bridge has been completed and is not a part of the Proposed Action.

The Proposed Action is located east of the former Table Rock Estate property, which OPRHP identified as being eligible for the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion C for its collection of early-twentieth-century estate architecture. The DEIS contains a detailed visual analysis which documents that the Proposed Action would not be visible from Table Rock Estates. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not introduce visual, atmospheric or audible elements that would diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features or negatively affect any of the characteristics of the historic property that qualify it for inclusion in the National Register. The Proposed Action would not diminish the integrity of the Table Rock Estate property's location, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association.

As detailed in the DEIS and FEIS, the southern portion of Harriman State Park is located approximately 2.5 miles east and northeast of the Project Site. OPRHP identified Harriman State Park as being eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. As identified in the DEIS and FEIS, Harriman State Park has hiking trails with scenic long-distance views of the Ramapo Mountains, and several of these Viewpoints have views of the Project Site. The Proposed Project would not adversely impact the characteristics for which Harriman State Park has been determined eligible for listing on the State and National Registers of Historic Places.

As stated in OPRHP's June 6, 2016 Resource Evaluation for Harriman State Park, "Harriman State Park is significant under criteria A and C in the areas of conservation, recreation, landscape architecture, social history and architecture as one of New York's earliest and most influential state parks, for its association with precedent-setting recreational and social welfare programs, for its enormous naturalist designed landscape, and for its outstanding collection of rustic park architecture."

The minimal visibility of the Proposed Project, viewed from certain distant locations in the park as described above and in the visual analyses contained in the DEIS and FEIS, would not adversely

DRAFT 32 v. 10.24.2022

impact the historic characteristics or the visitor's experience at Harriman State Park. This conclusion is supported by the June 2, 2022, OPRHP determination that the Proposed Action would have no indirect, visual impacts on the National Register eligible Harriman State Park (07109.001181). The determination letter further concluded that, "it continues to be the opinion of the OPRHP that no properties, including archaeological and/or historic resources, listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places will be adversely impacted by this project."

Archaeological Resources

The Project Site was the subject of a 2005 Town of Ramapo SEQRA review completed for the Lorterdan project. The SEQRA review for Lorterdan included a Phase 1A and 1B Cultural Resources Survey of the Project Site completed by Hartgen Archaeological Associates, Inc. (HAA) in 2002 (OPRHP archaeology survey 02SR52540). HAA's Phase 1A research of the Project Site identified the site as having moderate archaeological sensitivity associated with its precontact occupation and concluded that it had low sensitivity for historic period archaeological resources. HAA conducted Phase 1B testing to identify the presence or absence of archaeological resources in undisturbed, level portions of the Project Site that were not included within mapped wetland areas. The testing resulted in the identification of four archaeological sites referred to as Locus 1 (OPRHP Unique Site Number [USN] 08704.000227), Locus 2 (OPRHP USN 08704.000228), Locus 3 (OPRHP USN 08704.000229), and Locus 4 (OPRHP USN 08704.000230). Only Locus 4 would have been impacted by the Lorterdan project. HAA recommended a Phase 2 Archaeological Survey/Evaluation of Locus 4 in the event that the project as then proposed could not be redesigned to avoid impacts to Locus 4 and the 50-foot area buffer area around it. As the Lorterdan project was not constructed, no additional evaluation occurred. Based on the findings of the previous Phase 1A and 1B Survey, OPRHP issued a finding of "No Impact" on historic or cultural resources for the Sterling Mine Road Active Adult Community in 2002.

 Locus 1 and Locus 2 are both well outside the area of disturbance for the Proposed Action, and the Proposed Action has been designed to avoid any project-related impacts within a 50-foot buffer of the site identified as Locus 3. The Proposed Action would affect the location of Locus 4. As such, the Applicant completed a Phase 2 Archaeological Evaluation of Locus 4 to determine its State/National Register (S/NR) eligibility status. That evaluation recovered small quantities of naturally-occurring, non-cultural lithic material but no evidence of prehistoric activity. In the absence of prehistoric remains meeting the eligibility requirements of the S/NR, the Phase 2 Evaluation recommended no additional fieldwork. Since Locus 4 is not culturally significant, it does not need to be avoided and the Proposed Action may disturb this area.

DRAFT 33 v. 10.24.2022

¹ Hartgen Archaeological Associates, Inc. (2002): "Phase IA Literature Review and Sensitivity Assessment and Phase IB Archaeological Field Reconnaissance; Sterling Mine Road Active Adult Community; Town of Ramapo, Rockland county, New York." Prepared for: Lorterdan Properties at Ramapo, I, LLC; Montclair, NJ.

In the absence of archaeological resources meeting the eligibility requirements of the S/NR, and the avoidance of the three other Loci, the Proposed Action will have no effect upon archaeological resources.

MITIGATION

The Proposed Action would have no direct or indirect significant impact on historic resources; as such, no mitigation is required.

 A formal Archaeological Avoidance Plan was prepared to document the protection measures to be implemented during construction to prevent disturbance to the identified archaeological sites. With implementation of the Archaeological Avoidance Plan, the Proposed Action would have no direct or indirect significant impact on archaeological resources.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency finds that:

1. The Archaeological Avoidance Plan shall be included as part of the CDSP and Site Plan applications for the Proposed Action. During the CDSP review, the Project Sponsor will be required to demonstrate that the Conceptual Development Plan has been designed to avoid any project-related impacts within a 50-foot buffer of Locus 3. To prevent accidental impacts to the site during construction, the 50-foot buffer are shall be marked in the field and enclosed with a fence during construction in accordance with the Archaeological Avoidance Plan submitted to SHPO. With the implementation of the Archaeological Avoidance Plan, the Lead Agency finds that there will be no significant adverse impacts to archaeological resources.

9. INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES

The Proposed Action's potential effects on local and regional water supply, sanitary sewer systems, and electric and gas utilities were evaluated.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Water Supply

Water supply to the Proposed Action would be provided by SUEZ Water North America, now Veolia North America. In accordance with recommendations by SUEZ, the Applicant would install a 6" water main with a maximum service pressure of 90 pounds per square inch (psi) at the property entrance of the Project Site fed from an off-site SUEZ owned and operated pump station.

The Proposed Action would incorporate water-saving technologies into the Project Design, including the use of low-flow plumbing fixtures and rainwater harvesting for some HVAC and plumbing uses.

- 1 Regarding the updates between the DEIS and FEIS, the updated loads are comparable to what was
- 2 presented in the DEIS. The updated water and sewage loads for the Proposed Project are included
- 3 in **Table 5** below.

Table 5 Water and Sewage Load by Building

	DOMESTIC	WATER (GP	D)	SEWAGE (GPD)			
BUILDING	PEAK HOUR WATER DEMAND (PHD)	AVERAGE DAY WATER DEMAND (ADD)	MAXIMUM DAY WATER DEMAND (MDD)	PEAK HOUR WATER DEMAND (PHD)	AVERAGE DAY WATER DEMAND (ADD)	MAXIMUM DAY WATER DEMAND (MDD)	
RES 1	73,600	9,200	18,400	66,200	8,300	16,600	
RES 2	103,200	12,900	25,800	92,900	11,600	23,200	
RES 3	88,000	11,000	22,000	79,200	9,900	19,800	
RES 4	73,600	9,200	18,400	66,200	8,300	16,600	
RES 5	103,200	12,900	25,800	92,900	11,600	23,200	
RES 6	88,000	11,000	22,000	79,200	9,900	19,800	
RES 7	88,000	11,000	22,000	79,200	9,900	19,800	
RES 8	103,200	12,900	25,800	92,900	11,600	23,200	
RES 9	73,600	9,200	18,400	66,200	8,300	16,600	
RES 10	88,000	11,000	22,000	79,200	9,900	19,800	
OFFICE	85,800	14,300	28,600	77,200	12,900	25,700	
EVENTS	91,200	11,400	22,800	82,100	10,300	20,500	
AV	100,800	16,800	33,600	90,700	15,100	30,200	
FITNESS	16,200	5,400	10,800	14,600	4,900	9,700	
VISITOR	27,600	4,600	9,200	24,800	4,100	8,300	
CAR WASH	1,200	600	1,200	1,100	500	1,100	
INTERIM BUILDING	66,000	11,000	22,000	59,400	9,900	19,800	
TOTAL	1,271,200	174,400	348,800	1,144,000	157,000	313,900	
(incl. interim use building) TOTAL	1,211,200	174,400	340,000	1,144,000	157,000	313,800	
(excl. interim use building)	1,205,200	163,400	326,800	1,084,600	147,100	294,100	
Source: BR&A Site Utility Report, last revised 9/9/2021							

Sanitary Sewer

5 6 7

8 9

10

11

4

The Proposed Action would connect to the Rockland County Sewer District #1, which has the capacity to serve the project. Updated sewage load calculations are provided in Table 5 above. The Proposed Action would require an extension of a RCSD1 10-inch sanitary sewer main by approximately 2,500 linear feet along Sterling Mine Road to connect to the nearest sanitary manhole. Wastewater generated from the Project Site would be conveyed through this sewer main extension to the Hillburn Advanced Water Treatment (AWT) facility.

12 13 14

15

To connect to the public sanitary system, the Applicant would be required to pay a one-time connection fee and annual service fees to Rockland County. (Although the Applicant is generally

DRAFT 35 v. 10.24.2022 exempt from real property taxation, it is not exempt from sewer use and connection fees.) These fees are detailed in the letter from Rockland County included in Appendix A to the FEIS.

The Applicant is contemplating installing a septic tank and drain field to serve the small, 3,000-square-foot backlot building. A septic system for only this small structure would avoid the need for a pump station between the backlot building and the rest of the Project Site (which would be served by the RCSD1). This septic system would have a design capacity of approximately 1,250 gpd.

Electricity and gas

The anticipated electrical usage of the Proposed Action would be offset by the sustainable options being considered, such as rooftop solar photovoltaics on the A/V Studio Building that would have a peak capacity of 2 (two) megawatts (MW), equal to 30 percent of Proposed Action's electrical demand. In addition, the Applicant will work with Orange and Rockland Utilities (O&R) to participate in demand response and distributed generation programs to reduce electrical costs. This includes opportunities to purchase electricity off peak from O&R that would be stored on a 20 megawatt hour (MWH) utility scale battery to use during peak hours. The battery energy storage system would be on the Project Site. This would result in lower electrical demands for regional electricity generation and avoid the need for increased fossil fuel combustion to meet peak demand.

MITIGATION

The Proposed Action incorporates energy saving measures, including energy generated from rooftop photovoltaics and the use of on-site natural gas for backup generators, into the project design and would not require additional mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the local electric and gas utilities.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency finds that:

SUEZ (now Veolia) has provided a "will serve" letter to the Project Sponsor. The water-saving measures included in the Proposed Action would reduce the demand on the public water supply. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not result in a significant adverse impact on the public water supply.

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to result in any significant adverse impacts to sanitary sewer infrastructure or the Hillburn AWT's ability to treat wastewater. However, in the event that the sewer capacity analysis demonstrates the existing sanitary sewer main or Hillburn AWT have inadequate capacity to accommodate added flow from the Project Site, the Applicant shall be responsible for the cost of the design and construction costs for improvements to accommodate the project

The Proposed Action would not result in a significant adverse impact on the electric and gas utilities.

10. TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION

The DEIS identified the potential traffic impacts and proposed mitigation measures for the identified traffic impacts. The analyses presented and methodologies utilized in the DEIS was very conservative as the baseline conditions were developed utilizing conservative adjustment factors to estimate pre-pandemic conditions along with a conservative estimate of No Action traffic levels.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

As documented in the DEIS, and as updated for the FEIS to account for the realignment of the residential driveway entrance, in the future with and without the Project, two intersections would experience impacts. These two intersections are (1) Sterling Mine Road and Eagle Valley Road/Site Residential Driveway and (2) Sterling Mine Road and CR 68/Site Main Driveway (offset).

As discussed in Chapter 1 of the FEIS, at the request of the Orange County Department of Public Works, and as part of a separate project, the Project Sponsor will abandon the existing dirt driveway that provides direct access to the Project Site and construct a 4-way intersection with Eagle Valley Road, Sterlington Road, and Sterling Mine Road. Access to the residential area of the Project Site would be from Sterlington Road, which also provides access to the existing Sisters Servants of Mary Immaculate and St. Joseph's Adult Care Home. As part of the Proposed Action, this access would be improved from a dirt/gravel entrance to a paved roadway that would serve as the secondary entrance to the Proposed Action. A plan for the realignment is shown in FEIS Figure 1-6.

The existing traffic volumes from Sterling Mine Road to Sterlington Road are sufficient to warrant a left turn lane based on American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) standards. The addition of these volumes to the Project's residential entrance as part of the realignment will result in an impact at this location that was not present when the driveways were separate.

 The Proposed Action capacity analysis has been updated to take into account the revised intersection alignment design of Sterling Mine Road and Site Residential Driveway/Eagle Valley Road. The capacity analyses for the intersections affected by the realignment described above are presented in FEIS Appendix E Table E-1 along with corresponding Synchro output reports.

As discussed in the DEIS, the Tuxedo Farms Project in the neighboring Town of Tuxedo identified signalization at the Sterling Mine Road and CR 68 intersection as a potential mitigation measure for their project-related impacts. The Tuxedo Farms project would provide Rockland County with the traffic signal warrant study to determine if signalization is required and would be responsible to install the traffic signal if warranted. The Proposed Action would add a fourth (offset) leg to this intersection. As such, a discussion regarding timing for installation of the signal would occur between the Tuxedo Farms Project Sponsor and the County. The Project Sponsor would

coordinate with the County to ensure the traffic signal (if approved) accommodates their driveway for alignment Option A, and would coordinate a timing plan to maximize efficiency and minimize lost time per cycle for the two T-legged intersections under Option "A".

MITIGATION

For internal traffic circulation, all non-resident volunteers, deliveries, guests, and visitors to the Site would be directed to the gated main entrance off Sterling Mine Road. Sufficient queuing is provided between Sterling Mine Road and the gate house so that traffic will not back-up onto Sterling Mine Road. Residents would access the Site from either the main entrance or from a residents-only gate entrance off Sterling Mine Road in the Town of Tuxedo.

The existing traffic volumes from Sterling Mine Road to Sterlington Road are sufficient to warrant a left turn lane based on American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) standards. The addition of these volumes to the Project's residential entrance as part of the realignment cause an impact at this location that was not present when the driveway was separate. Under existing conditions, the realignment of the intersection of Sterling Mine Road and Eagle Valley Road/Site Residential Driveway monitoring for a traffic signal is warranted. To mitigate the additional volume the Project Sponsor shall be required to prepare a signal warrant analysis within six months of completion of the Proposed Project and occupancy of the Project Site.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency finds that:

 1. The Project Sponsor shall be required to prepare a signal warrant analysis within six months of completion of the Proposed Project and occupancy of the Project Site.

2. Through coordination with the Tuxedo Farms Project Sponsor and the County regarding the potential need for a traffic signal at the Sterling Mine Road and CR 68 intersection, and the signal warrant analysis to be conducted by the Proposed Action Project Sponsor at the Sterling Mine Road and Eagle Valley Road intersection, any potential significant adverse impacts will be adequately mitigated.

 3. As illustrated in the DEIS, sight distances at both driveways would be acceptable and meet the American Associations of State and Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) standards with the removal of vegetation within the Sterling Mine Road right-of-way. The Sterling Mine Road right-of-way vegetation removal plan shall be included in the Site Plan Application.

11. NOISE AND LIGHTING

Potential impacts from noise levels and lighting associated with operation of the Proposed Action were evaluated.

DRAFT 38 v. 10.24.2022

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Noise sources include Project Site vehicular traffic; heating, ventilation, and air conditioning; and mechanical equipment. Based on the modeling, predicted noise levels from the Proposed Action would not exceed 55 dB(A) at the property line, which is consistent with the Town of Ramapo and Town of Tuxedo regulations.

 Outdoor lighting for the Proposed Action would be low energy LED with daylight, timeclock, and presence control. The applicant would incorporate design elements consistent with the NYStretch Energy Code 2020. All outdoor lighting fixtures would be full-cutoff to minimize light spillover and glare. Lighting for the Proposed Action would be compatible and of appropriate scale for the development and the proposed lighting would conform to all applicable town regulations.

MITIGATION

The Proposed Action would not result in significant adverse impact on noise levels or lighting. Noise generated by the Proposed Action would be concentrated in the center of the Project Site and would not generate unreasonable levels of noise above 55dB(A) at any property line, consistent with both the Town of Ramapo and Town of Tuxedo regulations. Site lighting is designed to not exceed 0.1 foot-candles at the property line, except at the main entrance driveway where additional lighting is required for safety. Additionally, the Proposed Action includes egress lighting controls, to reduce lighting to 50% when unoccupied for more than 15 minutes, and outdoor parking lighting control to reduce lighting levels by 50% when unoccupied for more than 15 minutes and/or between 12AM and 6AM. As such, the Proposed Action would not require additional mitigation related to lighting and noise.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

Specifically, the Town Board acting as Lead Agency, for the reasons discussed in Chapters 1 and 11 of the DEIS, and further discussed in the responses in Chapter 3 of the FEIS, finds that:

 1. The Town Board as Lead Agency finds that the noise sources included in the Project Site vehicular traffic; heating, ventilation, and air conditioning; and mechanical equipment would not exceed 55 dB(A) at the property line, which is consistent with the Town of Ramapo and Town of Tuxedo regulations.

2. The Lead Agency finds that the outdoor lighting for the Proposed Action would be low energy LED with daylight, timeclock, and presence control. The applicant would incorporate design elements consistent with the NYStretch Energy Code 2020. All outdoor lighting fixtures would be full-cutoff to minimize light spillover and glare. Site lighting is designed to not exceed 0.1 foot-candles at the property line, except at the main entrance driveway where additional lighting is required for safety. Additionally, the Proposed Action includes egress lighting controls, to reduce lighting to 50% when unoccupied for more than 15 minutes, and outdoor parking lighting control to reduce lighting levels by 50% when unoccupied for more than 15 minutes and/or between 12AM

1 and 6AM. Lighting for the Proposed Action would be compatible and of appropriate scale 2 for the development and the proposed lighting would conform to all applicable town 3 regulations. 4

5

3. For the reasons above, the Town Board finds that the Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse impact as associated with noise and lighting.

7 8

6

12. SOCIOECONOMICS

9 10

11

12

The expected economic benefits of the construction and operations of the proposed A/V Production Center were estimated using the IMPLAN (IMpact analysis for PLANning) inputoutput model. The model estimates both the direct (on-site) economic benefits and the indirect and induced benefits to the region.

13 14 15

Using IMPLAN terminology, the reporting breaks out total economic impacts into three components:

16 17 18

1. **Direct** effects represent the initial benefits to the economy of a specific new investment; e.g., on-site employment (during construction and operations) and associated labor income.

20 21 22

23

24

19

2. **Indirect** effects represent the benefits generated by industries purchasing from other industries as a result of the direct investment. For example, indirect employment resulting from the project's operational expenditures will include jobs in industries that provide goods and services to the construction and operations.

25 26 27

28

29

3. **Induced** effects represent the impacts caused by increased household income in a region. Direct and indirect effects generate more worker income by increasing employment and/or salaries in certain industries. Households spend some of this additional income on local goods and services, such as food and drink, recreation, and medical services.

30 31 32

33

The DEIS also assessed the Proposed Action's incremental demand for municipal services, estimated its marginal costs using a case study approach, and described offsetting municipal benefits.

34 35 36

In response to comments from the Town of Ramapo, the FEIS further evaluates the potential fiscal impacts to the Town of Ramapo. The FEIS is an update to the analysis presented in the April 2021 DEIS and provides additional back-up on the methodology used therein.

38 39 40

37

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

41 42

43 44 Construction impacts are expected to be comparable to that of any other similarly sized construction project, although the amount of directly generated construction wages and salaries would be reduced to the extent that volunteer workers are used for construction. Local

DRAFT 40 v. 10.24.2022 expenditures are expected for goods and services, such as meals, fuel and vehicle maintenance, and other miscellaneous expenditures.

It is expected that indirect and induced employees would be generated by the construction project throughout the affected regions (i.e., Town of Ramapo, Rockland County, and New York State). The indirect and induced economic growth in these regions would create the demand for labor in businesses providing services noted above or other support services. This economic growth would continue for an estimated 5 years (the anticipated construction period) and would benefit restaurants, food suppliers, lodging, automobile services, building supply stores, and other services.

Volunteer construction workers are expected to be housed off-site at the nearby Woodgrove at Sterlington apartment complex located on NYS Route 17 in the Town of Ramapo, at other facilities owned and operated by the Applicant, and on-site at the A/V Production Center. These volunteers will be provided a living stipend to purchase groceries, gas, and personal effects, and can be expected to make the bulk of these purchases locally, to the economic benefit of the Ramapo and Rockland County economies. The living stipend for construction volunteers will total \$14.9 million over the course of the construction period. In addition to the living stipend, many construction volunteers have income or savings from other sources as they have generally taken a leave of absence from their secular work for a short period and thus have disposable income. It is expected that the construction volunteers would spend monies from their stipend and from their savings in the Ramapo area on typical household consumer expenditures. These expenditures in turn induce employment in the local economy.

Operational period benefits would result from the addition of up to 1,240 new residents/employees at the Project Site. However, to present a more conservative analysis, the analysis of operational benefits considered the potential economic effects of 1,000 full-time volunteers. The Proposed Action would also introduce/require temporary on-site contractor employment, as needed (e.g., plumber, electrician).

Watchtower provides an annual stipend to its full-time resident volunteers for living expenses such as clothing, food, and gas. The volunteer labor full-time equivalent stipend will total \$3.37 million annually in direct employee compensation. As with the construction volunteers, it is expected that the onsite volunteers during the operational period would spend monies from their stipend and from their savings in the Ramapo area on typical household consumer expenditures. These expenditures in turn induce employment in the local economy.

Operations of the proposed A/V Production Center would result in approximately 19 indirect and induced jobs within the Town of Ramapo. Indirect jobs would include those in real estate; independent artists, writers, and performers; and marketing researchers. Induced jobs would include those at doctor's offices and restaurants, as a result of new household spending.

As a tax-exempt organization, the Applicant would continue to receive property and school tax exemptions. However, applicable special district tax payments and user fees would continue to be paid.

- 1 **Table 6** summarizes the estimated economic benefits that would be realized within the Town of
- 2 Ramapo as a result of the Proposed Action.

Table 6
Town of Ramapo Benefits

	Construction	Operations	
Employment (Annual Jobs)	·		
Direct	603	1,000	
Indirect	27	17	
Induced	6	2	
Total	636	1,019	
Employee Compensation (in Mi	llions of 2020 dollars)1		
Direct	\$93.37	\$3.37	
Indirect	\$8.55	\$0.74	
Induced	\$1.51	\$0.07	
Total	\$103.43	\$4.18	
Economic Output (in Millions of	Economic Output (in Millions of 2020 dollars) ²		
Direct	\$465.01	\$23.64	
Indirect	\$26.35	\$2.71	
Induced	\$4.77	\$0.22	
Total	\$496.14	\$26.57	
Fiscal Revenue (in Millions	of 2020		
dollars) ³	\$1.55	\$0.06	

Notes:

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

3

Sources: 2018 IMPLAN and AKRF, December 2021.

FINDINGS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

The Proposed Action's estimated economic and fiscal revenue benefits outweigh the estimated incremental municipal cost. Therefore, the Proposed Action is not anticipated to result in any significant adverse impacts to the services provided by the Town of Ramapo. Therefore, there would be no significant adverse socioeconomic impacts requiring mitigation.

13. CONSTRUCTION

The Proposed Action's construction phasing plan and the potential for impacts due to construction were evaluated.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Full build-out of the World Headquarters of Jehovah's Witnesses Audio/Video Production Center would occur in three major stages. Stage 1 will be tree removal, which will be subdivided into 5 subphases, and will have a duration of two months. Stage 2 will be major earthworks and blasting, which will be subdivided into 6 subphases and will have a duration of 18 months. Lastly Stage 3 is building construction, landscaping, and finished surfaces. Stage 3 will be subdivided into 9 subphases and will have a duration of 38 months. Based on the type and depths of bedrock

DRAFT 42 v. 10.24.2022

¹ Employee compensation refers to "Labor Income" in IMPLAN.

² Economic output is the total value of industry production. For Industries that do not hold inventory, output equals revenues (sales); for Industries that do hold inventory, output equals revenues plus any net change in inventory (additions to inventory less sales out of inventory).

Includes all estimated direct, indirect, and induced taxes. The Proposed Action would be exempt from paying direct taxes on production and imports (e.g., sales and property tax).

Values may not add to totals due to rounding

encountered during the geotechnical investigation, much of the rock excavation would require the use of hydraulic hammers, line drilling and/or blasting.

The Tuxedo Planning Board and Orange County Department of Public Works required that a new entrance be constructed in the Town of Tuxedo to serve both the adjacent Sisters Servants property and the Project Site. This entrance has been constructed.

Initial construction access to the Project Site would be provided via the new entrance from Sterlington Road. Rough grading and blasting of the main entrance area would begin in Stage 2, Phase 8. Final grading, alignment, and paving of the main entrance area would occur in Stage 3, Phase 12, and would include the left turn lane. Construction trucks would use State routes (e.g., I-87 and NYS Route 17) and County Road 72 (Sterling Mine Road) to travel to/from the Project Site.

The Proposed Action would generate vehicle trips associated with workers traveling to and from the construction site, and hauling and delivery of materials and equipment. The Proposed Action is anticipated to generate 616 construction jobs per year, of which 462 would be resident volunteers. Volunteer construction workers are expected to be housed off-site at the nearby Woodgrove at Sterlington apartment complex located on NYS Route 17 in the Town of Ramapo, at other facilities owned and operated by the Applicant, and once operational on-site at the A/V Production Center. Although some construction workers would arrive on the Project Site in private cars, the majority are expected to arrive by a Watchtower provided jitney service from existing proximate Watchtower residences (primarily the Woodgrove at Sterlington apartments) to the construction site.

Since the DEIS, the site work for the Proposed Project has been redesigned to balance cut and fill on the Project Site, which will reduce the number of truck trips during the earthworks phases of the project. As the Town's consulting traffic engineer noted in his comments on the DEIS, construction traffic could require up to 170 truck trips per day for a period of two years. Now, Construction truck traffic will primarily be for the exporting of trees and brush during the tree clearing phase and for the importing of construction materials. Consequently, construction truck trips to/from the Project Site are now anticipated to range from an average of 30 trucks per day to an average of 50 trucks per day. Truck movements, including delivery of construction materials and equipment, are typically distributed throughout the work day.

MITIGATION

Construction of the Proposed Action would be carried out in accordance with the Town of Ramapo Noise Code (Chapter 188), which allows construction activities between the hours of 8 AM and 10 PM, except legal holidays when no activity is permitted.

During construction of the Proposed Action, vegetation would be removed and bare soil would be exposed as part of excavation and site preparation. To reduce soil erosion and sedimentation related impacts, construction of the Proposed Action would conform to the requirements of NYSDEC SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity, Permit No. GP-0-20-001. This permit requires that projects disturbing more than one (1) acre of land must

DRAFT 43 v. 10.24.2022

develop an SWPPP containing both temporary erosion control measures during construction and post-construction stormwater management practices. The SWPPP includes an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan that would be subject to review and approval by the Town's consulting engineer and potentially the NYSDEC. All erosion and sediment control measures would also be developed in accordance with the New York Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control.

To mitigate potential impacts associated with construction traffic, the Project Sponsor will provide 25 jitney vehicles to transport volunteer construction workers from the Woodgrove at Sterlington apartment complex located just off NYS Route 17 at 950 Woodmont Ln, Sloatsburg, NY 10974. The jitney vehicles would be 15 passenger vans that would transport occupants in two shifts in the morning and would return in the evening. The apartment complex is approximately 2 miles east of the Project Site via Sterling Mine Road. Jitney service would also be provided from Tuxedo Bethel, located at 1422 Long Meadow Rd, Tuxedo Park, NY 10987, approximately 8 miles from the Project Site via Long Meadow Rd and Sterling Mine Road. The jitney service would minimize the number of construction worker trips and the need for parking on the construction site. Given typical construction hours, the majority of worker trips would occur during off-peak travel times (7:00 AM to 8:00 AM and 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM) and therefore would not typically affect traffic during the standard peak vehicular travel hours (e.g., 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM and 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM).

To further mitigate construction related traffic impacts, the Proposed Project has been designed to balance cut and fill on the Project Site, which will reduce the number of truck trips during the earthworks phases of the project. Sterling Mine Road (CR 72) is a truck route with approximately 1,063 to 1,111 trucks per day under current conditions. It is expected that only a limited number of trucks would travel to or from the site during the standard vehicular peak traffic hours. Therefore, the minimal increase in truck traffic during the construction period is not anticipated to result in significant adverse traffic impacts and traffic generated during construction would be temporary.

The Proposed Action would include a number of sustainability practices during construction, including:

Use of mass timber construction, which is comprised of multiple solid wood panels nailed
or glued together. Mass timber construction is a low-carbon alternative to concrete and
steel;

• Use of high recycled content/renewable or sustainably harvested materials to the extent reasonably practicable;

• Use of locally and/or regionally extracted or manufactured materials where reasonably feasible; and

• Diversion of construction waste from landfills and to recycling and reuse where reasonably feasible.

DRAFT 44 v. 10.24.2022

Throughout construction, the Project Sponsor will prioritize sourcing materials from local suppliers and from the Hudson Valley region. Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified wood and other renewable resources would be targeted for use. Waste management of construction materials will be a priority throughout the construction phase.

FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS

1. During construction of the Proposed Action, vegetation would be removed and bare soil would be exposed as part of excavation and site preparation. To reduce soil erosion and sedimentation related impacts, construction of the Proposed Action would conform to the requirements of NYSDEC SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity, Permit No. GP-0-20-001. This permit requires that projects disturbing more than one (1) acre of land must develop an SWPPP containing both temporary erosion control measures during construction and post-construction stormwater management practices. The SWPPP includes an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan that would be subject to review and approval by the Town's consulting engineer and potentially NYSDEC. All erosion and sediment control measures would also be developed in accordance with the New York Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control.

2. Blasting would be carried out in conformance with the 2020 edition of the Fire Code of New York State and the National Fire Protection Association Explosive Materials Code (NFPA 495-2018), Chapter 104 of the Town Code, and all other applicable laws and regulations. Prior to initiating blasting on the Project Site, the Project Sponsor would prepare and submit a site-specific blasting plan for Town review and approval. The Blasting Plan would include schedules for blasting (day, hour, and duration), safety protocols associated with both blasting activities, neighbor notification, documentation of conditions of nearby buildings and structures, and the handling and transport of blasting materials, and measures to mitigate noise-related impacts. Blasting activities are not expected to impact rattlesnake dens. A spring emergence survey was conducted in the spring of 2021 by a NYSDEC approved timber rattlesnake experts who found no timber rattlesnakes or evidence of active dens on the Project Site (see FEIS Response 4-48). Prior to blasting, the Project Sponsor would obtain a seismographic survey to determine maximum charges that can be used at each location in area of excavation without damaging adjacent properties or other work. Pursuant to Section 104-6 of the Town Code, the Applicant shall notify the Town and all property owners whose property falls within a radius of 750 feet from the blasting site at least 48 hours in advance of blasting.

3. The principal air quality impact associated with construction activities is the possible generation of fugitive dust, which can vary in terms of volume and size of particulate matter generated. Fugitive dust is associated with earth moving, such as site grading, filling, and excavation for foundations. To mitigate and minimize these problems, erosion and dust control procedures shall be followed during construction and shall include:

Spraying water on dusty surfaces;

• Utilizing ultra-low sulfur diesel equipment; and

Minimizing the time span that soil is exposed;

• Using drainage diversion methods (silt fences) to minimize soil erosion during site grading.

Minimizing the area of disturbed soil by careful planning of grading operations so

that only the areas needed for any particular construction activity are disturbed;

Water trucks would be used to spray exposed surfaces during dry weather periods. These water trucks would obtain water from off-site locations and the amount of water used during construction would depend on weather conditions during the construction period.

E. ALTERNATIVES

The DEIS considered several alternatives to the Proposed Action, including "Alternative 6," a lower height alternative. Alternative 6 would involve constructing the same building area but at a lower height. Under this alternative, the maximum height would be 45 feet, which is the maximum height under the existing RSH Zoning. A 45-foot tall building is roughly equivalent to a typical four-story multi-family building.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Alternative 6 requires a significantly larger building footprint than the Proposed Action. As such, the total area of disturbance would increase from 116 to 136 acres, and the total development footprint (including buildings, roads, and footpaths) would increase from 27 to 40 acres (see Figure 2-1 of the FEIS). In addition, Alternative 6 would require approximately 390,000 cubic yards more cut than the Proposed Action. The Proposed Action would balance cut and fills on the Project Site. However, Alternative 6 would require approximately 1,718,031 cubic yards of cut and 1,515,743 cubic yards of fill, which results in a net excess of 202,288 cubic yards of cut. To avoid exporting the additional excavated material, grades across the Project Site would be raised, thus increasing the overall elevation and height of the project.

Because of its larger footprint, additional disturbance of the site under Alternative 6 is unavoidable. This larger footprint could have been moved either closer to the ridgeline, as shown, or down to lower elevations. However, moving the footprint lower would adversely impact existing wetlands. Avoidance of the wetlands is one of the guiding principles of the Project Site's design. Therefore, to avoid the wetlands at the lower elevations of the Project Site and corresponding increased wetland impacts, the additional buildings of Alternative 6 must be located higher on the ridgeline.

- 1 As discussed above, a 45-foot height alternative would increase the amount of impervious surface
- area on the Project Site. In addition, the surface area of buildings would increase the amount of
- 3 energy loss which would be made up with increased energy consumption. Infrastructure such as
- 4 district heating costs would increase requiring their feasibility to be revisited. The Project Sponsor
- 5 indicated that the additional construction costs and distance between buildings would hinder their
- 6 ability to implement some of the sustainable energy measures contemplated as part of the Proposed
- 7 Action. **Table 7** below provides a side-by-side comparison of the two alternatives.

Table 7 Comparison of Proposed Action Versus 45 foot Height Alternative

	Comparison of Froposed Action	Versus 45 foot Height Alternative
Analysis Area	Proposed Action	45-Foot Alternative
Land Use and Zoning	zoning, or public policies. With the proposed amendments in place, the	Inconsistent with the public policy and land use goals for increased preservation of contiguous open space, concentration of development footprint, and decrease in impervious surfaces.
Geology	Implementation of an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan would minimize soil erosion. Blasting required. No significant impacts to geological features.	390,000 cubic yards more cut than Proposed Action.
Natural Resources	acres of disturbance.	Removal of 133 acres of trees and 136 acres of disturbance. 88 acres of disturbed area would be revegetated.
Water Resources	0.14 acres of permanent wetland disturbance. No significant impact to wells or aquifers.	0.22 acres of permanent wetlands disturbance.
Stormwater	27 acres impervious surface. Implementation of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan would prevent significant adverse impacts from stormwater.	
Visual Resources	No significant adverse visual impacts.	20 acres more clearing than the Proposed Action. Development would be higher on the ridgeline, with greater visibility from offsite locations.
Community Facilities	No significant adverse impacts to community services or recreational resources. No effect on school district.	Same as the Proposed Action.
Historic	No impacts to historic or archaeological resources. Implementation of the Archaeological Avoidance Plan would prevent impacts to the Locus 3 archaeological site and its 50-foot buffer.	
Infrastructure	No significant impacts on water supply, sanitary sewer service, or electric and gas service. Water usage estimated at 151,000 gallons per day (gpd). Sanitary wastewater estimated at 136,000 gpd. Energy consumption estimated at 6 MW (179 billion BTUs). Renewable energy components included.	Action.

Traffic	Estimated weekday trip generation: 54 AM trips, 214 PM trips. Impacts would occur at intersection of Sterling Mine Road with Eagle Valley Road/Sister Servants Lane in the weekday PM peak hour, and intersection of Sterling Mine Road with CR 68 in the weekday AM and PM peak hours.	·
Noise and Lighting	No significant adverse noise or lighting impacts.	Greater potential for lighting impacts as the buildings would be located higher on the ridgeline.
Fiscal	1,240 new residents. Positive economic benefits to Town and region. No substantial impact on municipal costs or ability to provide services. Construction period tax revenue: \$18.91 million to Rockland County, \$10.58 million NYS. Operational period tax revenue: \$1.5 million to Rockland County, \$0.87 million to NYS.	
Construction		20 more acres of clearing, and substantially more grading and excavation compared to Proposed Action. Would require approximately 390,000 additional cubic yards of cut, which would either require raising the grade of the buildings (thus increasing their height and potential visibility) or additional truck trips to export a net of 202,288 cubic yards of fill offsite which would require 14,450 truck trips and extend the construction period.
Sustainability		Similar sustainable energy measures to the Proposed Action. However, the larger footprint would have a greater environmental footprint and the project would be less sustainable than the Proposed Action.

MITIGATION

8

1 2

While this Alternative would meet the programmatic purpose and needs of the Project Sponsor, the Project Sponsor has stated that it would not meet their sustainability or land stewardship goals. Alternative 6 would result in larger cumulative adverse environmental impacts when compared to the Proposed Action.

DRAFT 49 v. 10.24.2022

The potential adverse impacts of the Proposed Project are mitigated through a number of mitigation measures incorporated into the project design including on-site components (e.g., green and sustainable site design elements, the native species replanting plan, deed-restricted open space, and wetland mitigation) and off-site components (e.g., signal warrant analysis for the Sterling Mine Road and Eagle Valley Road intersection and the financial contribution to the Sloatsburg Fire Department) such that the benefits of the project outweigh the potential impacts and the lower height alternative is not necessary to pursue.

As the project moves forward through Conceptual Development Special Permit review and Site Plan review, including amendments thereto, certain modifications may be made to the project design relating to building locations, building footprints, and total square footage. If such plan modifications result in substantially similar amounts of square footage, construction activity staying substantially within the same limits of disturbance, and with substantially similar amounts of impervious surface area as set forth in the DEIS and FEIS, then no further environmental review under SEQRA will be required. If, however, the plans are modified in a manner that may increase the amount or extent of environmental impact beyond that analyzed in the DEIS and FEIS, then the Town will determine whether a supplemental EIS should be prepared.

FINDINGS

For all the reasons discussed above, which are more fully discussed in the FEIS, the Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as modified in the course of the Board's environmental review to incorporate comments and recommendations from the Town Board, its professional planning and engineering consultants, the Community Design Review Committee, involved and interested agencies, and interested members of the public and advocacy organizations, is the action which best balances the environmental impacts identified in the EIS with the programmatic objectives of the Project Sponsor and the social, economic and other factors considered in this SEQRA Findings Statement.

F. SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACTS THAT CANNOT BE AVOIDED OR ADEQUATELY MITIGATED IF THE PROJECT IS IMPLEMENTED

As discussed in each of the technical analysis areas above, the Proposed Action would create a number of physical changes to the Project Site that cannot be avoided, but which can be minimized or mitigation, as discussed in Chapters 1 and 2 of the FEIS, and further discussed in the responses in Chapter 3 of the FEIS.

Specifically, the Town Board finds that:

1. The Proposed Action will result in the unavoidable clearing and grading of approximately 116 acres of the Project Site. That area largely consists of second growth forest. Since the Proposed Action requires an extensive amount of cut and fill to meet the necessary grades, it is not feasible to preserve any trees within the area of disturbance. The loss of vegetation and trees within the area of disturbance is considered an unavoidable adverse impact.

- While the loss of that area will be partly mitigated by the extensive landscaping plan
 proposed by the Project Sponsor, which includes the planting of native trees, shrubs and
 grasses, it cannot be entirely mitigated due to the need to construct building sites and other
 improvements.
- 3. The Proposed Action requires construction and fill to create the proposed building sites, altering the natural topography of the Project Site. The proposed cut and fill operations will enable the Project Sponsor to balance grading cuts and fill on the Project Site, avoiding the need to import and export substantial quantities of material to the site, substantially reducing construction traffic and noise on the road network and allowing the site work to be completed in a shorter time period. The site design also includes retaining walls to minimize transitional grade change and reduce the area of site disturbance.
- 4. The Proposed Action will result in unavoidable adverse impacts to approximately 2.31 acres of federally regulated wetlands. Of that total, 2.17 acres would be temporarily impacted by landscape plantings within those areas that are intended to enhance the existing wetlands vegetation, with any disturbed areas restored at the conclusion of that work. 0.14 acres would be permanently affected by necessary site work that cannot be avoided. While the area of disturbance is an increase from what was presented in the DEIS, the Town Board recognizes that the increase is primarily due to the landscaping enhancement work within the wetland areas that is a temporary disturbance.
- 5. Development and use of the Project Site will generate solid waste due to office refuse, food wastes, and household waste. To minimize solid waste generation, the Project Sponsor has represented that the Audio/Video Production Center will recycle as much as possible, and the residential areas will provide recycling facilities to encourage residents to recycle; despite those efforts, the Town Board recognizes that the production of some solid waste is unavoidable.
- 6. The change of the existing land use from vacant to occupied land in the Proposed Action creates several unavoidable adverse environmental impacts. As noted, construction of new buildings, roadways and parking areas would require excavation and grading, as well as creating additional impervious surfaces on-site. This increase in impervious surfaces would require detention, treatment, and eventual release of stormwater runoff that would formerly have been absorbed on the Project Site. A SWPPP will be implemented to ensure proper management of stormwater runoff during construction and the provision of permanent stormwater facilities that will provide both water quality and quantity treatment, but the Town Board recognizes that a portion of the discharge from the site will include some stormwater runoff that would have been previously absorbed on the site.
- 7. The Proposed Action requires construction activities that will generate traffic to and from the site, noise from construction equipment and potential erosion concerns. While those impacts are unavoidable, the Proposed Sponsor has proposed a phasing plan, a SWPPP and erosion and sediment control plan, noise controls that conform to the Town's

- regulations on noise, and traffic safety measures that would be implemented during construction. These impacts would be temporary and are not considered significant.
 - 8. While these impacts are unavoidable, the Town Board finds that the Proposed Action has been planned and designed to minimize them to the extent practicable, and to meet several of the Town's environmental and land planning objectives for the Project Site.

G. IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES

Natural and manmade resources would be expended in the construction and operation of the Proposed Action. These natural resources include the use of land and energy. Manmade resources include the effort required to develop, construct, and operate the Proposed Action; building materials; financial funding; and motor vehicle use. Resources are considered irretrievably committed because it is highly unlikely that they would be used for some other purpose.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The use of land is the most basic of irretrievably committed resources, as the development of the the Watchtower Audio/Video Production Center requires the commitment of land for the Project. The Proposed Action would use vacant land for the construction of Audio/Video Production Center buildings and associated parking areas and driveways.

The Proposed Action would result in the irreversible clearing and grading of approximately 116 acres of the Project Site, much of which comprises second growth forest. Within the 116 acre limits of disturbance, approximately 0.14 acres of federally regulated wetlands would be permanently disturbed. The loss of vegetation and trees and filling of wetlands within the area of disturbance is considered an irreversible commitment of resources.

Irreversible changes to the topography would occur from site grading and filling activities. The Proposed Action would result in changes to the natural topography of the Project Site for the construction of the Audio/Video Production Center.

Grading activities are anticipated to involve both cut and fill operations. As discussed above, based on the proposed grading plan for the Proposed Action, 1,689,000 cubic yards of cut and 2,110,000 cubic yards of fill are projected. The analysis assumed 6% on-grade construction materials (foundations, trenches and roads) and a bulking factor of approximately 18%. Any changes in the bulking characteristics would be accommodated by adjusting the height of the sports fields and backlot to eliminate the need for import or export of materials.

Of the 116 acres of land to be disturbed, approximately 79.65 acres would be revegetated and landscaped and 1.49 acres of ponds would be created. However, approximately 34.86 acres of the Project Site would be impervious surfaces.

DRAFT 52 v. 10.24.2022

The actual building materials used in the construction of the Proposed Action (wood, steel, 2 concrete, glass, etc.) and energy, in the form of gas and electricity, consumed during the construction and operation of the Proposed Action by the various mechanical systems (heating, 4 hot water, air conditioning, and manufacturing) would also be irretrievably committed to the Proposed Action.

5 6 7

1

3

In addition, the Proposed Action would require water to serve the on-site uses. It is anticipated that the Proposed Action would require an average of approximately 163,400 gallons per day (gpd) of water.

9 10 11

8

MITIGATION

12 13

14

15

Considering the context in which they occur, none of these irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources is considered significant. Nevertheless, the mitigation measures contained in the proposed site plan and described in the DEIS/FEIS adequately and appropriately mitigate these impacts.

16 17 18

FINDINGS

19 20

21

22

23

24

The Town Board acknowledges that the Project requires the removal of vegetation and the development of currently vacant and/or redevelopment of underutilized land. Once constructed, the development of the vacant lands cannot be readily reversed and would be unavailable for other future uses. The Proposed Action is therefore, from a practical perspective, an irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources, including land, construction materials and building supplies, energy resources, and labor, which will not be available for other projects.

25 26 27

28

29

Although some of these materials (e.g., steel, glass) could be recovered and recycled if future development were demolished, the use of these materials from a practical perspective represents an irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources. At this time, such resources are considered to be available and should not present a burden upon scarce materials or resources.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

The Town Board has weighed and balanced the commitment of land resources, materials and human labor against the social, economic and other benefits of the Proposed Action. In doing so, it has considered the religious nature of the proposed use, the exemplary effort to plan and design the Project to provide an integrated live/work development that reduces transportation impacts, preserves open space and protects environmentally sensitive areas of the Project Site, while minimizing and mitigated visual impacts on neighboring properties and the viewsheds of the parks and recreational resources. The Town Board has also considered the energy conservation and sustainable construction techniques that have been incorporated to reduce or mitigate the energy used by the Project, which are summarized in the following section of these findings.

40 41 42

43

DRAFT 53 v. 10.24.2022

H. IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION ON THE USE AND CONSERVATION OF ENERGY/SUSTAINABILITY

The use and conservation of energy and the sustainability measures of the Proposed Action were evaluated.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

1 2

 As described in the DEIS, the Proposed Action incorporates extensive sustainability measures which have mitigating effects on climate change and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The Proposed Action is being designed to meet U.S. Green Building Council Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) "Silver" standards for the Residences, Offices, Audio/Video Studios, and Events Building. In addition, the Applicant is incorporating design elements consistent with the NYStretch Energy Code 2020 and will reduce building energy consumption between 10 to 12 percent lower as compared with a baseline building designed to meet the minimum building code requirements and energy requirements of ASHRAE 90.1-2013. This would exceed the required requirements to reduce energy expenditures by at least 2 to 4 percent compared with a baseline building to qualify for LEED certification.

Since the issuance of the DEIS, the Applicant has further evaluated energy efficiency measures and design elements that would be implemented. The energy sources to be used include a geothermal heat pump system, rooftop solar photovoltaics, purchased grid electricity, and natural gas back-up generators. The Proposed Action has been designed to be consistent with statewide initiatives including NYStretch Energy Code 2020 as well as efforts to increase renewable energy statewide by 2030. Specific elements that would exceed the recommended NYStretch policy include:

- Electric vehicle charging infrastructure provided to handle future minimum 5% of all parking spaces as EV use [C405.10].
- Whole building energy modelling (all utilities) required [C405.12].
- Egress lighting control (reduce to 50% when unoccupied for more than 15 minutes) [C405.2.1.4].
- Outdoor and parking area lighting control (reduce to 50% when unoccupied for more than 15 minutes, and/or between 12AM and 6AM) [C405.2.6.3/C405.2.6.5].
- Reduced lighting allowances per space type (i.e., <0.78W/FT2 for open offices) [C405.3.2(2) Table].
- Specific requirements for lower U values, lower air barrier allowances, and higher cooking/equipment efficiencies.

DRAFT 54 v. 10.24.2022

Key sustainability features throughout the Project Site include green roofs, rooftop solar, electric vehicle charging stations, low impact development stormwater management systems, a trail system to encourage walking and biking throughout the campus, and locating the majority of the development along a central spine in order to minimize internal travel distances. The heating and cooling for the campus would be provided by the Central Energy Plant utilizing a geothermal heat pump system. The campus system would be "electrified" so that normal heating loads can be met without burning fossil fuel. Orange and Rockland Utilities would provide electricity to the Project Site. Back-up generators and a back-up boiler would be provided for resiliency. The site would be positioned to use liquified hydrogen as a fuel source in the future. Green hydrogen is produced through the electrolysis of water with electricity generated from zero-carbon sources and only harmless oxygen is emitted during the process, making it a clean and secure solution.

The Proposed Action includes a number of energy conservation measures, such as:

• Individual apartment instantaneous plate and frame heat exchangers for domestic hot water to minimize standby losses.

• Configuration of the Central Energy Plant to allow architectural flexibility to maximize window-to-wall ratio for daylighting.

• All buildings would be designed such that the building orientation, external shading, high R value, and high quality glazing would minimize HVAC loads.

• Thermal energy from waste heat would be utilized for domestic hot water generation and to heat buildings.

 A dedicated outdoor air system with energy recovery would be utilized to increase equipment efficiency and lower the Central Energy Plant load.

• Outdoor lighting would be low energy LED with daylight/timeclock/presence control.

Prior to project completion, as the site's residence buildings are certified for occupancy, they would be used to provide lodging for the construction volunteers who would be working on the site. This would reduce the number of vehicle trips and carbon footprint of the project during the construction process. The majority of the development of the Proposed Action is organized along a central spine, which would minimize internal travel distances.

MITIGATION

Given that the Proposed Action would not result in significant GHG or energy use impacts, mitigation is not proposed or required.

¹ The back-up generators would be natural gas fired.

FINDINGS

The Town Board has weighed and balanced the impact of the Proposed Action on the use and conservation of energy resources and sustainability against the social, economic and other benefits of the Proposed Action. The Town Board finds that the energy conservation and sustainable construction techniques that have been incorporated into the design and operation of the Proposed Action are anticipated to substantially reduce the energy used by the Project, as summarized above, and that the proposed design and construction of the Project incorporates significant sustainability practices.

As the Project Sponsor has represented that those measures will be incorporated into the Project, no conditions are required.

I. GROWTH-INDUCING ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

The potential for the Proposed Action to induce growth was evaluated.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The Proposed Action will only change the zoning and land use of the Project Site, and would not induce growth in the surrounding where zoning has not changed.

Concern was expressed that the proposed MU-3 zoning could be utilized to allow other developers to assemble parcels and develop another site in a similar manner. However, the Town Board recognizes such action is unlikely for numerous reasons, including the economic cost of assembling a project site that would meet the lot area requirement, the legislative character of any zoning change, the requirement for the Town Board to not only approve a rezoning, but then proceed to approve a conceptual plan and grant special permit approval for a proposed development after the required environmental review process is completed, and the time and cost of securing all agency approvals.

A number of local businesses near the Project Site would likely experience increased patronage from employees during construction, as well as from residents and visitors after construction. It is expected that this patronage would be welcomed in the business community, and would not result in significant new development in areas not already developed with commercial uses.

The Proposed Action includes utility connections to the Rockland County Sewer District #1 (RCSD1). However, the Project Site is already located within RCSD1, and the extension of the service line to the Project Site was considered in the 1997 DEIS for the Western Ramapo Sewer District Expansion Project.

MITIGATION

The Proposed Action would not result in the rezoning of other sites.

It will not require new water or sanitary sewer connections not previously analyzed. Therefore, no growth inducing impacts would result from those connections and no mitigation measures would be required.

J. APPLICANT REPRESENTATIONS

In making its SEQRA findings, the Town Board relies upon voluntary agreements and representations of the Applicant, as set forth in the environmental record before the Board, and in the submissions made by the Applicant and the consulting team it has retained to assist it in the environmental analysis of the Proposed Action. The Applicant has further represented and agreed that it will either consent to the incorporation of such representations and agreements as enforceable conditions of any approval of a site specific plan for development or return to the Town Board for amendment of the Findings Statement insofar as may be required due to the Board's reliance on such representations and agreements.

 While the Board is mindful that SEQRA does not change the jurisdiction of agencies, the Board has relied upon those agreements and representations. The conceptual development plan and CDSP must must be consistent with this Findings Statement and the representations set forth herein, and the final site plan and must either be consistent with this Findings Statement, with written findings adopted by the Planning Board, based on the environmental record and the specific plan before it, before the site plan will be signed by the Planning Board Chairperson. As appropriate, this Findings Statement makes specific reference to such agreements and representations.

K. CERTIFICATION TO APPROVE/FUND/UNDERTAKE

Having considered the draft and final Environmental Impact Statement and having considered the preceding written facts and conclusions relied on to meet the requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 617.11, this Statement of Findings certifies that:

1. The Town Board has given consideration to the Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements prepared in connection with the Proposed Action.

2. The requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 617 have been met.

3. Consistent with social, economic and other essential considerations from among the reasonable alternatives available, the action is the one that avoids or minimizes adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent practicable, and that adverse impacts will be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable by incorporating as conditions to the decision those mitigative measures that were identified as practicable.

This Findings Statement shall be filed with the Town Supervisor of the Town of Ramapo and the Town Board, all Involved and Interested Agencies as identified in the EIS, any person who has requested a copy, and the Applicant. A copy of the adopted Findings Statement shall be forwarded to the Town Clerk of the Town of Ramapo and maintained in files that are readily accessible to

1	the public and shall be made availa	ble on request, and on the Town of Ramapo website a
2	http://www.ramapo.org/watchtower	
3		
4	Name of Agency:	Town of Ramapo Town Board
5		
6	Signature of Responsible Official:	
7		
8	Name of Responsible Official:	Michael B. Specht
9		_
10	Title of Responsible Official:	Supervisor
11		•
12	Date:	
13		
14	Address of Agency:	Town Board of the Town of Ramapo
15		Town of Ramapo
16		235 Route 59
17		Suffern, New York 10901
18		
19		

A COPY OF THIS FINDINGS STATEMENT HAS BEEN SENT TO:

Mailing Address	Email Address
Michael B. Specht, Town Council Supervisor	SpechtM@ramapo-ny.gov
Town of Ramapo Town Board	
237 Rt. 59	
Suffern, NY 10901	
845-357-5100 (Ext. 202)	
Sylvain Klein, Chairperson	planning@ramapo-ny.gov
Town of Ramapo Planning Board	
237 Rt. 59	
Suffern, NY 10901	
845-357-5100 (Ext. 269 or 283)	
Deborah Villanueva, Planning Board Clerk	buildingclerk@tuxedogov.org
Town of Tuxedo Planning Board	
1 Temple Drive	
Tuxedo, NY 10987	
845-351-4421	
Town of Town to Dispusion Described Assessment	114
Town of Tuxedo Planning Board Attorney	knaughton@bmglawyers.com
Kelly M. Naughton, Esq.	
Burke, Miele, Golden & Naughton, LLP	
40 Matthews Street, Suite 209	
P.O. Box 216	
Goshen, New York 10924	
845-294-4080	

Charles Vezzetti, Superintendent	highway@co.rockland.ny.us
Rockland County Highway Department	
23 New Hempstead Rd.	vezzettc@co.rockland.ny.us
New City, NY 10956	
845-638-5060	
Joseph LaFiandra, Engineer II	LaFiandJ@co.rockland.ny.us
Rockland County Sewer District No. 1	
4 Rt. 340	RCSD@co.rockland.ny.us
Orangeburg, NY 10962	
845-365-6111	
Charles Vezzetti, Chairman	vezzettc@co.rockland.ny.us
Rockland County Drainage Agency	,
23 New Hempstead Rd	
New City, NY 10956	
845-638-5081	
Rockland County Department of Health	ruppertp@co.rockland.ny.us
50 Sanatorium Rd Bldg D	Tuppersp & Consolination, 1988
Pomona, NY 10970	MelloE@co.rockland.ny.us
845-364-2512	Wienoss contentanting as
Erik Denega, Commissioner	edenega@orangecountygov.com
Orange County Department of Public Works	cuchega worangecounty gov.com
2455-2459 Route 17M	
P.O. Box 509	
Goshen, NY 10924	
845-291-2750	
	1 201
John Petronella, Regional Permit Administrator	dep.r3@dec.ny.gov
NYS Department of Environmental Conservation,	
Region 3	
21 South Putt Corners Road	
New Paltz, NY 12561-1620	
845-256-3054	
Basil Seggos, Commissioner	Basil.Seggos@dec.ny.gov
NYS Department of Environmental Conservation	
625 Broadway	
Albany, NY 12233-1010	
518-402-8545	
Nancy Herter, Director	Nancy.Herter@parks.ny.gov
Technical Preservation Bureau	
Division of Historic Preservation	
New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and	
Historic Preservation	
Peebles Island	
P.O. Box 189	
Waterford, New York 12188-0189	
518-268-2166	

Ron.Rausch@parks.ny.gov
CDRC@ramapo-ny.gov
townoframapobpz@ramapo-ny.gov
townonamapoopz@ramapo-ny.gov
townoframapodpw@ramapo-ny.gov
townoframapoclerk@ramapo-ny.gov
rdollbaum@tuxedogov.org
Townsupervisor@tuxedogov.org
Townsupervisor & tuxedogov.org
townclerk@tuxedogov.org
towncierk@tuxedogov.org
notorokov@clootchureny.com
peterakey@sloatsburgny.com
4-11-44-6-1-4-1
tombollatto@sloatsburgny.com
glennthompson@sloatsburgny.com

Douglas J. Schuetz, Commissioner of Planning Rockland County Planning Department 50 Sanatorium Rd # T Pomona, NY 10970 845-364-3434	SchuetzD@co.rockland.ny.us
Hellen Kenny Burroughs	Kennybuh@co.rockland.ny.us
Alan J. Sorensen AICP	landuseprojects@orangecountygov.com
Planning Commissioner	
Orange County Department of Planning	
124 Main St.	
Goshen, NY 10924	
845-615-3840	
Veolia North America	Water.info@veolia.com
53 State Street	
14th Floor, Boston, MA 02109	
Ph: (617) 849-6600	1 1 0 66
Lisa Weber, Acting Superintendent	lweber@sufferncentral.org
Suffern Central School District	
45 Mountain Ave.	
Hillburn, NY 10931-2002 845-357-7783	
Sloatsburg Fire Department	info@sloatsburgfire.org
96 Orange Turnpike	info@stoatsourgrife.org
Sloatsburg, NY 10974	
845-753-5575	
Shane Starr, Fire Chief	hillburnmain@optonline.net
Hillburn Fire Department	imiourimum @ optomine.net
37 5th St	Fire Chief email N/A
Hillburn, New York 10931	THE SHET SHAIT I WIT
845-357-9260	
Sloatsburg Volunteer Community Ambulance	N/A
Corps	
62 Washington Ave.	
Sloatsburg, NY 10974	
845-753-2445	
Alexander Ryan	Alexandra.Ryan@usace.army.mil
General Engineer/Project Manager	
USACE Operations/Regulatory 16-406	
c/o PSC Mail Center	
26 Federal Plaza	
New York, NY 10278	
917-790-8518	

David A. Stilwell, Fish and Wildlife	David_stilwell@fws.gov
Administrator	David_striweri@1ws.gov
New York Field Office	
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	
3817 Luker Road	
Cortland, NY 13045	
607-753-9334	14 14 6 11 1 0 1
SEQR Unit	Mary.McCullough@dot.ny.gov
New York State Department of Transportation	
Traffic Engineering & Safety Division	
4 Burnett Blvd.	
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603	
Lance MacMillan, Regional Director	Lance.MacMillan@dot.ny.gov
NYSDOT, Region 8	
Eleanor Roosevelt State Office Building	
4 Burnett Boulevard	
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603	
Deborah Munitz/ROSA 4 Rockland	deb@welcomedriver.com
Deborah Munitz	
5 Rose Hill Road	
Suffern, NY 10901	
845-368-1165	
Rockland County Sheriff	RCSheriff@co.rockland.ny.us
55 New Hempstead Road	·
New City, NY 10956	
Phone: (845) 638-5400	
Palisades Interstate Park Commission	mail@njpalisades.org
New Jersey Offices	JI was a same of
P.O. Box 155	
Alpine Approach Road	
Alpine, New Jersey 07620-0155	
201-768-1360	
Rockland County Office of Fire & Emergency	rcftc@co.rockland.ny.us
Services	19119 (901200111111111111111111111111111111111
Fire Training Center	
35 Firemen's Memorial Dr.	
Pomona, NY 10970	
Phone: 845-364-8800	
Fred Brinn, Superintendent of Highways	BrinnF@ramapo-ny.gov
Town of Ramapo Highway Department	Dimin Clamapo ny.gov
16 Pioneer Ave	
Suffern, NY 10901	
Office Phone: 845-357-0903	
OTHER FIIOHE. 043-337-0903	

Brad Weidel, Police Chief	townoframapopolice@ramapopd.org
Town of Ramapo Police Department 237 NY-59	
Suffern, NY 10901	
New York State Police, Troop F	PIO@troopers.ny.gov
55 Crystal Run Road	out of the state of the s
Middletown, NY 10941-9755	
Rockland Paramedic Services	info@rocklandparamedics.org
540 Chestnut Ridge Rd.	
Chestnut Ridge, NY 10977	
Tel: (845) 627-8612	
Fax: (845) 627-8621	
Orange & Rockland	
390 W. Route 59	
Spring Valley, NY 10977 1-877-434-4100	
1-8//-434-4100	
Becky Deng, Major Accounts Engineer	dengcu@ORU.com
New Business Services Office	denged Correleoni
390 W. Route 59	
Spring Valley, New York 10977	
845-577-3606	
646-819-3976	
Environmental Notice Bulletin	ENB@dec.ny.gov
Geoff Welch	geoffwelch@gmail.com
Curator, Harmony Hall	8
15 Liberty Rock Rd, Sloatsburg, NY 10974	
Heather Loebner	info@mypalisades.org
Executive Director	
Palisades Parks Conservancy	HLoebner@mypalisades.org
2645 29th Street, Floor 1	
Astoria, NY 11102	lung a con (2) coinn, and
Kathy Moser Chief Conservation Officer	kmoser@osiny.org
Open Space Institute	
1350 Broadway, Suite 201	
New York New York 10018	
Carter Strickland	newyork@tpl.org
New York - New Jersey State Director	J o e vp.1018
Trust for Public Lands	
666 Broadway, 9th Floor	

New York, New York 10012	
New Fork, New Fork 10012	
Y CC A	
Jeffrey Anzevino	janzevino@scenichudson.org
Director, Land Use Advocacy	
Scenic Hudson	
One Civic Center Plaza, Suite 200	
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601	
Alison Mitchell	alison@njconservation.org
Assistant Director	
New Jersey Conservation Foundation	
Bamboo Brook	
170 Longview Road	
Far Hills, NJ 07931	
Mark Izeman	mizeman@nrdc.org
New York Regional Director	
National Resource Defense Council	
40 West 20th Street, 11th floor	
New York, NY 10011	
Joshua Howard	jhoward@nynjtc.org
Executive Director	
New York-New Jersey Trail Conference	
600 Ramapo Valley Rd	
Mahwah, NJ 07430-1199	
Katherine Marcopul	Kate.Marcopul@dep.nj.gov
Administrator and Deputy State Historic	
Preservation Officer	
New Jersey Historic Preservation Office	
Mail Code 501-04B	
State of New Jersey	
Department of Environmental Protection	
Historic Preservation Office	
PO Box 420	
Trenton, NJ 08625-0420	
Terri Thal, MPA, Treasurer	info@west-branch.org
West Branch Conservation Association	5
8 Lake Road	
New City NY 10956	
845 634-3231	
Village of Sloatsburg Library	sloatsburgpubliclibrary@gmail.com
1 Liberty Rock Road	Secure of the security of the
Sloatsburg, NY 10974	
845.753.2001	
0.5.7.53.2001	

New Jersey Highlands Coalition	info@njhighlandscoalition.org
508 Main Street	
Boonton, NJ 07005	
(973) 588-7190	
Rodger Friedman and Susan Scher, Co-Chairs	sscher5@optonline.net
The Sterling Forest Partnership	
P.O. Box 303	rf@rarebookstudio.com
Tuxedo, NY 1 0987	
Hardesty & Hanover	msimpson@hardestyhanover.com
555 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite C301	
Rye, NY 10580	
	sbrown@hardestyhanover.com
George Lithco	gwl@jacobowitz.com
Jacobowitz and Gubits, LLP	
PO Box 367	
158 Orange Avenue	
Walden NY 12586	